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## West of England Chess Union

Officers 2000/2001

| Cose | Name and Address | Telephone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxtmer | J.F.Wheeler, 52, St. Matthew's Road, Cosham, Portsmouth. PO6 2DL <br> E-mail : john.wheeler@care4free.net | 023-9237-9905 |
| Demy Fresident | I.M. George, 3a, Roskear, Camboume, TR14 8DF <br> E-mail: imgeorge@globainet | 01209-719727 |
| Ba Life Vice | Ken Bloodworth; Mrs. Joan Parker |  |
| Vive Prucidents | E.I.S. Biddick; A.C. Brown; P.H. Clarke; J.E.Fewkes; A.S. Hollis; D.C. Jarrett, F.C. Kingdon; P.L. Marshall; R.O. Powis; R.W. Rendell; A.D. Rookes; E.G. Walker; L.T. Walker; L.C. Waters. |  |
| Gemed Secretary | R. H. Jones, Keverel Lodge, 40 Phillipps Avenue, Exmouth. EX8 3HZ <br> E-mail bobjones@eclipse.co.uk | $\begin{aligned} & 01395-223340 \\ & \text { (including fax) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Treasure | J.E. Fewkes, The Gables, Middle Street, Rimpton, Yoevil, Somerset. BA22 8AQ | 01935-850227 |
| Resalts Officer | G.P. Mann, 4, Cleeve View Road, Cheltenham, Glos. GL52 5NH | 01242-522569 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Congress } \\ & \text { Secretary } \end{aligned}$ | A.D. Rookes, 6, Milton Close, Weymouth, Dorset. DT4 7NB E-mail 113221.2074@compuserve.com | 01305-766385 |
| Jhior Secretary | Position vacant. |  |
| Fintures Secretary | F.C. Kingdon, 6, Blenheim Road, Weymouth, Dorset. DT3 5AZ | 01305-812237 |
| B.C.F. Delegate | V.J. Dunleavy, 59, Belle Cross Road, Kingsbridge, Devon. TQ7 1NL <br> E-mail: vijaydee@britishlibrary.net | 01548-857623 |
| Asditor | C.E. Winch |  |

## Council Delegates.

| County | Executive Member | Council Member |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Comwall | R.J. Grime | I.M. George |
| Devon | P.K. Trussler | B.W.R. Hewson |
| Dorset | S. Crisp | P. Foster |
| Gloucestershire | P.J. Meade | G.P. Mann |
| Hampshire | N.A. Johnson | L. O'Sullivan |
| Somerset | D.G. Woodruff | G.N. Jepps |
| Wiltshire | vacant | vacant |
| Bristol League | J. Paines | R.J. Palmer |

## Editorial.

We are coming to the end of a season that has had its usual mixture of ups and downs. It started at Millfield last August, where there were mixed feelings about it being the venue for the British Championships. Nothing wrong with the campus, of course, which had wonderful facilities and playing conditions, but several geographical factors meant it attracted the lowest number of entries for many years, and Congress Director, Neil Graham, had to work wonders to complete the event within budget.

While at Millfield, the BCF's new grading list was published, which signalled a universal chorus of disapproval, led in the main by WECU, who sent a very strongly worded plea to the BCF Chairman, Gerry Walsh, begging for the grading system to be put right immediately - a point which, after some hesitation, was taken, and corrective measures were put in place. In fact, our BCF Delegate, John Dunleavy, has been working very hard on the BCF Management Board, in an effort to get them working in a more business-like way - efforts, which he now feels, are beginning to bear fruit.

In September, the WECU Jamboree had to be cancelled because of the fuel crisis.

The inter-county programme continued to contract somewhat, with a number of defaults, though perhaps not as badly as it appeared at the beginning of last season. The feature was the continuing renaissance of Cornwall, who only narrowly missed out against Devon and Dorset, drew with the overall winners Wiltshire, and beat Hampshire and Somerset. They go on to represent the Union in the Championship, while Devon have three teams in the National Stages. Full details are given within. One optimistic note is the feint possibility that Gloucestershire, too long missing from the scene, might be entering a team next season.

The WECU Congress has found a settled home in Exmouth for the time being, with a free sea-side venue, excellent playing conditions and entries relatively high. The Executive has agreed that it should be held there again in 2002, but the venue for 2003 will be open to offers from the counties.

## County Match Results.

March saw the completion of the 2000-01 season, with the following results.
HAROLD MEEK COMPETITION

|  | Cornwall | Devon | Dorset | Hants | Somerset | Wilts | points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwail |  | $71 / 2$ | 6 | $91 / 2$ | $101 / 2$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Devon | $81 / 2$ |  | $111 / 2$ | $121 / 2$ | 9 | $71 / 2$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Dorset | 10 | $41 / 2$ |  | 4 | 10 | 6 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Hants | $61 / 2$ | $31 / 2$ | 11 |  | 9 | $71 / 2$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Somerset | $51 / 2$ | 7 | 5 | 7 |  | 5 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Wilts | $\mathbf{8}$ | $81 / 2$ | 10 | $81 / 2$ | 11 |  | $\mathbf{9}$ |

## WAYLING CUP COMPETITION

|  | Devon | Dorset | Hants | Somerset | points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Devon |  | 12 | $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{o}$ | $12^{1 / 2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Dorset | 4 |  | $91 / 2$ | $9^{1 / 2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Hants | - | $61 / 2$ |  | $4^{1 / 2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Somerset | $31 / 2$ | $61 / 2$ | $31 / 2$ |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |

As a consequence, the following nominations were made for the final stages of this season Counties Championship:

Championship
Minor Championship
Under 175
Under 150
Under 125
Under 100

Cornwall.
Wiltshire.
Devon.
Champion: Devon, Runner up: Dorset.
Hampshire.
Devon.

In his report to the Union Executive, Fixtures Secretary, Frank Kingdon, gave the following explanations on these results and his nominations.

1. In my 18th December 2000 circular to Match Captains I stated the following: "You may notice that in some cases there are no match points awarded, this is because where a winning side has not submitted their full results for a match I have decided not to award the appropriate points for that match on the grounds that that County is not claiming them." also "As this is the first time that I have taken this action, if any of the missing
results are supplied within the next month I will award the appropriate math pois** Hence, in the absence of results, Hampshire have not been awarded Masch Poits for teris encounters with Dorset and Somerset.
2. Hampshire have qualified for the Quarter-Finals of the under 125 competitina by beating Dorset in a separate match $11 / 2-4 \frac{1}{2}$.
3. In the Championship/Minor competition, there were only four entrants; Conmml Dorset, Hampshire and Wiltshire and of these Cornwall and Dorset were not eligible to be considered for the Minor competition having played in the Championship the previous year. In the event, Wiltshire expressed a desire to be considered for the Minor section and neither Dorset nor Hampshire wished to continue to the final stages. Hence the nominations for final stages of these competitions which were agreed with the Comish Match Captain.

## DEVON v HAMPSHIRE

## 7th October 2000

| 1 | Frost,N.A | 194 | $1-0$ | Tunks,D | 192 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Homer,S | 184 | $0-1$ | Wilkinson,J.R | 167 |
| 3 | Brusey,A.W | 176 | $0-1$ | Newbury,M.L | 155 |
| 4 | Cox,M | 172 | $1-0$ | Esmed,Khaled | 138 |
| 5 | Wheeler,J.F | 168 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | O'Sullivan,Liam | 133 |
| 6 | Hewson,B.W.R | 169 | $1-0$ | Walters,L.C | 125 |
| 7 | Bellers,C.J | 168 | $1-0$ | Young,J | 122 |
| 8 | Derrick,K.W | 168 | $1-0$ | Lacey,E | 120 |
| 9 | Brooks,Paul | 164 | $1-0$ | Dallas,P | 119 |
| 10 | Sapiecha,M.W | 160 | $1-0$ | Kirkland,Les | 113 |
| 11 Regis,D | 151 | $0-1$ | Culliford,D.J | 112 |  |
| 12 | Ingham,W | 151 | $1-0$ | Default |  |
| 13 Pinkerton,A | 145 | $1-0$ | Default |  |  |
| 14 | Rogers,P.M | 144 | $1-0$ | Default |  |
| 15 Pope,S | 143 | $1-0$ | Default |  |  |
| 16 | Marriott,P | 143 | $1-0$ | Default |  |
|  |  |  | $121 / 2-31 / 2$ |  |  |

## SOMERSET v CORNWALL

7th October 2000

| 1 | Twyble,M.S | 201 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Menadue,J.F.S | 175 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Bamford,A.B | 154 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Sefton,R.S | 165 |
| 3 | Wood,D.C | 152 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Grime,R.J. | 164 |

4 Gosling,B.G.E $150 \quad 1 / 2-1 / 2 \quad$ George,I.M. 163
5 Jepps,G.N 144 1-0 Nicholas,J.J 148
6 Dobber,P 142 0-1 Bartlett,S 145
7 Crabree,A 140 0-1 144
5 Seer,G 141 .1/2-1/2 Hassall,M 143
9 Endie,R 140 0-1 Sellwood,C 137
10 Berrith,C.F 129 1/2-1/2 $\quad$ Kneebone,R.F 135
II Cepman,P.M $129 \quad 1 / 2 .-1 / 2 \quad$ Manton,T 133
12 Twor,R.D $127 \quad 0-1 \quad$ Lakeland,G 121
13 Wood, $129 \quad 0.1 \quad$ Cooke,D 120
14 Sonior,N.N $120 \quad 1 / 2-1 / 2 \quad$ Brewer,K 119
15 Berer,MR $116 \quad$ 1/2-1/2 $\quad$ Leaver,S 106
16 Tmer,R.D $127 \quad 0-1 \quad$ Kneebone,J.P.G 93 $51 / 2-101 / 2$.

WILTSHIRE v DORSET

7th October 2000

| 1 | Cobh, CA | 214 | 1-0 | White,G.A | 194 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Richmond,P.A | 200 | 1-0 | Simons,M.J | 191 |
| 3 | Hzydon,R | 198 | 1-0 | Pleasants,A.J | 179 |
| 4 | Heariong $T$ | 192 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Willetts,G.J | 174 |
| 5 | Bearne, J.D | 178 | 1-0 | Keddie,D.A | 169 |
| 6 | Johnson,B.R | 170 | 1/2-1/2 | Jenson, V.G | 166 |
| 7 | Wingficld, N | 165 | 1/2-1/2 | Laker,L.J | 166 |
| 8 | Phoutsady, C | 150 | 0-1 | Jenks, C.B | 165 |
| 9 | Le Quesne,J | 145 | 1/2-1/2 | Crisp,S.C | 164 |
| 10 | Wright,R | 140 | 0-1 | Sarahs,K.A | 152 |
| 11 | Ward,D.E | 136 | 0-1 | Needham,H.D | 150 |
| 12 | Bosworth, A | 136 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Adams,M.W | 142 |
| 13 | Champion,A.W | 134 | 1-0 | Nielsen, J.H | 139 |
| 14 | Woodward, T | 132 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | ONeill, M | 135 |
| 15 | Chase,Jenny | 128 | 1-0 | Litchfield, M | 128 |
| 16 | Tomboline, D | 130 | 1-0 | Primmett,A.D | 128 |
|  |  |  | 10-6 |  |  |

## CORNWALL v HAMPSHIRE

4th November 2000

| 1 | Menadue,J.F.S | 175 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Tunks,D | 192 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Sefton,R.S | 165 | $1-0$ | Wilkinson,J.R | 167 |
| 3 | Grime,R.J. | 164 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Marsh,R.D.W | 166 |
| 4 | George,I.M. | 163 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Newbury,M.L | 155 |


| 5 | Clifford,N | 161 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Kinsler,D | 153 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Nicholas,J.J | 148 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Barber,P | 152 |
| 7 | Bartlett,S | 145 | $0-1$ | Dean,S | 150 |
| 8 | Trudeau,G | 144 | $1-0$ | Default |  |
| 9 | Hassall,M | 143 | $1-0$ | Marshall,P.L | 134 |
| 10 | Lucas,D.G | 138 | $0-1$ | Copper,P.K | 130 |
| 11 | Sellwood,C | 137 | $1-0$ | Payne,Oliver | 129 |
| 12 | Manton,T | 133 | $1-0$ | Walters,L.C | 125 |
| 13 | Lakeland,G | 121 | $0-1$ | Le Fevre,S.D | 124 |
| 14 | Cooke,D | 120 | $0-1$ | Young,J | 122 |
| 15 | Brewer,K | 119 | $1-0$ | Lacey,E | 120 |
| 16 | Barkhysen,A | 106 | $1-0$ | Garrod,M.R | 113 |
|  |  |  | $91 / 2-61 / 2$ |  |  |

## SOMERSET v DORSET

4th November 2000

| 1 | Twyble,M.S | 201 | 1.0 | White,G.A | 194 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Bamford, A, B | 154 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Simons,M.J | 191 |
| 3 | Wood,D.C | 152 | 1-0 | Pleasants,A.J | 179 |
| 4 | Gosling,B.G.E | 150 | 0-1 | Willetts,G.J | 174 |
| 5 | Winch, C.E | 148 | 0-1 | Waddington, M | 174 |
| 6 | Jepps,G.N | 144 | 1-0 | Footner,A.F | 170 |
| 7 | Fewkes,J.E | 144 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Jenson, V.G | 166 |
| 8 | Dobber, P | 142 | 0-1 | Clancy, M | 166 |
| 9 | Crabtree,A | 140 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Crisp,S.C | 164 |
| 10 | Steer,G | 141 | 0-1 | Claesson, H | 154 |
| 11 | Eadie,R | 140 | 0-1 | Sarahs,K.A | 152 |
| 12 | Barratt,C.F | 129 | 0-1 | Hebert,R.A | 143 |
| 13 | Mc Kinley,C.T | 135 | 0-1 | Adams,M.W | 142 |
| 14 | Chapman,P.M | 129 | 0-1 | Durston, C | 140 |
| 15 | Latham, J | 125 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 2-1 / 2 \\ & 5-10 \end{aligned}$ | Nielsen,J.H | 139 |
| 16 | Tumer,R.D | 127 | 1-0 | ONeill, M | 135 |
| 17 | Wood, J | 129 | 1-0 | Willis,I.J | 133 |
| 18 | Senior,N.N | 120 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Papworth,D.E | 129 |
| 19 | Berryman,G.T | 122 | 0-1 | Harvey, N | 129 |
| 20 | Hunter,G | 114 | 0-1 | Primmett,A.D | 128 |
| 21 | Maishman,R.M | 111 | 1/2-1/2 | Grant, C | 127 |
| 22 | Hum, P | 111 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Bullock,A.C | 125 |
| 23 | Fisher,J.A | 105 | 1/2-1/2 | Harris, J.E | 123 |
| 24 | Default |  | 0-1 | Cherryson, J.C | 122 |
| 25 | Mills,N | 95 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Kelly, W.J | 121 |
| 26 | Sherlock.K | 92 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Steevens, M | 121 |
| 27 | Waters,R.G | 88 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Ambrose, C.J.F | 120 |


| 28 Carter,M.J | 88 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Lewis,D.W | 117 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 29 Dodden,P | 87 | $0-1$ | Bower,W | 116 |
| 30 Woodward,T | 78 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Dalzell,J | 109 |
| 31 Girling,M.J | 75 | $0-1$ | Brackner,P | 108 |

## DEVON v WILTSHIRE

## 11th November 2000

| 1 | Frost,N.A | 194 | $0-1$ | Cobb,C.A | 214 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Parkin,James | 188 | $0-1$ | Law,A. | 202 |
| 3 | Brusey,A.W | 176 | $1-0$ | Morris,C.F | 200 |
| 4 | Cox,M | 172 | $0-1$ | Richmond,P.A | 200 |
| 5 | Hewson,B.W.R | 169 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Haydon,R | 198 |
| 6 | Wheeler,J.F | 168 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Headlong,T | 192 |
| 7 | Bellers,C.J | 168 | $0-1$ | Bourne,J.D | 178 |
| 8 | Derrick,K.W | 168 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Cooper,A.C | 173 |
| 9 | Mason,I | 95 | $0-1$ | Wingfield,N | 165 |
| 10 | Trussler,P | 157 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Phoutsady,C | 150 |
| 11 | Walkrer,J | 153 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Tomboline,D | 130 |
| I2 Regis,D | 151 | $1-0$ | Ward,D.E | 136 |  |
| 13 | Ingham,W | 151 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Champion,A.W | 134 |
| 14 Pinkerton,A | 145 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Woodward,T | 132 |  |
| I5 Pope,S | 143 | $1-0$ | Carver,R | 110 |  |
| 16 | Gorodi,J.G | 141 | $1-0$ | Saunders,M | 92 |
|  |  |  | $71 / 2-81 / 2$ |  |  |

## HAMPSHIRE v DORSET

## 25th November 2000

| 1 | Tunks,D | 192 | $1-0$ | White,G.A | 194 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Brameld,A.F | 184 | $1-0$ | Pleasants,A.J | 179 |
| 3 | Default |  | $0-0$ | Default |  |
| 4 | Kasmierski,T | 169 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Keddie,D.A | 169 |
| 5 | Stock,T.W | 178 | $1-0$ | Kemp,P | 169 |
| 6 | Wilkinson,J.R | 167 | $0-1$ | Laker,L.J | 166 |
| 7 | Marsh,R.D.W | 166 | $1-0$ | Clancy,M | 166 |
| 8 | Henderson,I.R | 164 | $1-0$ | Crisp,S.C | 164 |
| 9 | Mc Leod,F.N | 160 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Sarahs,K.A | 152 |
| 10 | Newbury,M.L | 155 | $1-0$ | Needham,H.D | 150 |
| 11 | Kinsler,D | 153 | $1-0$ | Harmon-Bishop,A | 151 |
| 12 | Barber,P | 152 | $0-1$ | Kingdon,F.C | 142 |
| 13 | Krawczuk,M | 149 | $0-1$ | Hebert,R.A | 143 |


| 28 Carter,M.J | 88 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Lewis,D.W | 117 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 29 Dodden,P | 87 | $0-1$ | Bower,W | 116 |
| 30 Woodward,T | 78 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Dalzell,J | 109 |
| 31 Girling,M.J | 75 | $0-1$ | Brackner,P | 108 |

DEVON v WILTSHIRE

## 11th November 2000

| 1 | Frost,N.A | 194 | $0-1$ | Cobb,C.A | 214 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Parkin,James | 188 | $0-1$ | Law,A | 202 |
| 3 | Brusey,A.W | 176 | $1-0$ | Morris,C.F | 200 |
| 4 | Cox,M | 172 | $0-1$ | Richmond,P.A | 200 |
| 5 | Hewson,B.W.R | 169 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Haydon,R | 198 |
| 6 | Wheeler,J.F | 168 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Headlong,T | 192 |
| 7 | Bellers,C.J | 168 | $0-1$ | Bourne,J.D | 178 |
| 8 | Derrick,K.W | 168 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Cooper,A.C | 173 |
| 9 | Mason,I | 95 | $0-1$ | Wingfield,N | 165 |
| 10 | Trussler,P | 157 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Phoutsady,C | 150 |
| I | Walker,J | 153 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Tomboline,D | 130 |
| 12 | Regis,D | 151 | $1-0$ | Ward,D.E | 136 |
| 13 | Ingham,W | 151 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Champion,A.W | 134 |
| 14 Pinkerton,A | 145 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Woodward,T | 132 |  |
| 15 | Pope,S | 143 | $1-0$ | Carver,R | 110 |
| 16 | Gorodi,J.G | 141 | $1-0$ | Saunders,M | 92 |
|  |  |  | $71 / 2-81 / 2$ |  |  |

## HAMPSHIRE $v$ DORSET

## 25th November 2000

| 1 | Turks,D | 192 | $1-0$ | White,G.A | 194 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Brameld,A.F | 184 | $1-0$ | Pleasants,A.J | 179 |
| 3 | Default |  | $0-0$ | Default |  |
| 4 | Kasmierski,T | 169 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Keddie,D.A | 169 |
| 5 | Stock,T.W | 178 | $1-0$ | Kemp,P | 169 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Wilkinson,J.R | 167 | $0-1$ | Laker,L.J | 166 |
| 7 | Marsh,R.D.W | 166 | $1-0$ | Clancy,M | 166 |
| 8 | Henderson,I.R | 164 | $1-0$ | Crisp,S.C | 164 |
| 9 | Mc Leod,F.N | 160 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Sarahs,K.A | 152 |
| 10 | Newbury,M.L | 155 | $1-0$ | Needham,H.D | 150 |
| 11 | Kinsler,D | 153 | $1-0$ | Harmon-Bishop,A | 151 |
| 12 | Barber,P | 152 | $0-1$ | Kingdon,F.C | 142 |
| 13 | Krawczuk,M | 149 | $0-1$ | Hebert,R.A | 143 |


| 14 Stenhouse,I.A | 144 | 1-0 | Adams,M.W | 142 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 Flynn,D | 140 | 1-0 | Durston, C | 140 |
| 16 Esmed,Khaled | 138 | 1-0 | Miller, T.W.B | 137 |
|  |  | 11-4 |  |  |
| 17 Hoskins, D.I | 135 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Willis, IJ | 133 |
| 18 Marshall,P.L | 134 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Papworth,D.E | 129 |
| 19 Cleave,R.A | 131 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | McKenna, ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | 129 |
| 20 Copper,P.K | 130 | 0-I | Phillips,N | 129 |
| 21 Knight,B.J | 127 | 1/2-1/2 | Jackson, P.A | 129 |
| 22 Walters,L.C | 125 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Culleton,S.E | 129 |
| 23 Young,J | 122 | 1/2-1/2 | Grant, C | 127 |
| 24 Lacey,E | 120 | 1/2-1/2 | Sheehan,E_A | 126 |
| 25 Garrod,M.R | 113 | 1-0 | Bower, W | 116 |
| 26 Culliford,D.J | 112 | 1-0 | Kelly, W.J | 121 |
| 27 Grant, B | 112 | 1-0 | Bartlett,M.J | 122 |
| 28 Default |  | 0-1 | Steevens, M | 121 |
| 29 Default |  | 0-1 | Buckfield, H.A | 119 |
| 30 Default |  | 0-1 | Bland,P.A | 119 |
| 31 Default |  | 0-1 | Sachs,E.N | 117 |
| 32 Default |  | 0-1 | Bullock, A.C | 125 |
|  |  | 61/2-91/2 |  |  |

DEVON $v$ SOMERSET

13th January 2001

| $1$ | Frost,N.A | 194 | 0-1 | Twyble,M.S | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Parkin,James | 188 | 0.1 | Bamford, A.B | 154 |
| 3 | Homer, S | 184 | 1/2-1/2 | Winch,C.E | 148 |
| 4 | Brusey,A.W | 176 | 0-1 | Jepps,G.N | 144 |
| 5 | Wheeler, J.F | 168 | 1/2-1/2 | Fewkes, J.E | 44 |
| 6 | Cox,M | 172 | 1-0 | Paine,A | 147 |
| 7 | Hewson,B.W.R | 169 | 1-0 | Wood, D.C | 152 |
| 8 | Brooks, Paul | 164 | 0-1 | Gosling,B.G.E | 150 |
| 9 | Clarke,I | 164 | 1-0 | Crabtree,A | 140 |
| 10 | Sapiecha,M.W | 160 | 1-0 | Ster,G | 141 |
| 11 | Waterfield, J | 154 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Eadie,R | 140 |
| 12 | Ingham, W | 151 | 1.0 | Mc Kinley, C.T | 135 |
| 13 | Regis,D | 151 | 1.0 | Wallis,T.A | 137 |
| 14 | Pinkerton,A | 145 | 0-1 | Purry, C | 137 |
| 15 | Marriott,P | 143 | 1/2-1/2 | Senior,N.N | 120 |
| 16 Pope, ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  | 143 | 1-0 | Chapman,P.M | 129 |
|  |  |  | 9-7 |  |  |
| 17 | Gorodi,J.G | 141 | 1-0 | Dobber, P | 142 |
|  | Rogers,D.R | 141 | 1-0 | Wood, J | 12 |


| 19 Moffatt,W.J | 140 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Barratt,C.F | 129 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 20 Adams,D.J | 135 | $0-1$ | Tumer,R.D | 127 |
| 21 Boniface,S | 135 | $1-0$ | Berryman,G.T | 122 |
| 22 Belt,M | 132 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Baker,M.R | 116 |
| 23 Deakin,C | 131 | $1-0$ | Hunter,G | 114 |
| 24 Foulds,A | 129 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Cooper,M.E | 99 |
| 25 Bloodworth,K.J | 127 | $1-0$ | Ruston,A | 104 |
| 26 Hamon,Dr M.D | 136 | $1-0$ | Mills,N | 95 |
| 27 Suttle,N | 124 | $1-0$ | Sherlock.K | 92 |
| 28 Stinton,M | 123 | $0-1$ | Cooper,B | 83 |
| 29 Parker,J | 121 | $1-0$ | Rowe,C | 88 |
| 30 Jones,R.H | 125 | $1-0$ | Rowe,M | 79 |
| 31 Stephens,J.F.K | 107 | $1-0$ | Woodward,T | 78 |
| 32 Stephenson,Tom 103 | $1-0$ | Girling,M.J | 75 |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 2 1 / 2 - 3} \mathbf{3}$ |  |  |

## DORSET v CORNWALL

13th January 2001

| 1 | Simons,M.J | 191 | 1-0 | Menadue,J.F.S | 175 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | White,G.A | 194 | 0-1 | Sefton,R.S | 165 |
| 3 | Pleasants,A.J | 179 | 1/2-1/2 | George,I.M. | 163 |
| 4 | Footner, A.F | 170 | 1-0 | Nicholas, J.J | 148 |
| 5 | Keddie,D.A | 169 | 1.0 | Manton, T | 133 |
| 6 | Clancy, M | 166 | 1-0 | Bartlett,S | 145 |
| 7 | Sarahs,K.A | 152 | 0-1 | Hassall, M | 143 |
| 8 | Needham,H.D | 150 | 1-0 | Sellwood, C | 137 |
| 9 | Harmon-Bishop | A 151 | 0-1 | Hooker, J | 127 |
| 10 | Kingdon, F.C | 142 | 0-1 | Lakeland, G | 121 |
| 11 | ONeill, M | 135 | 1-0 | Brewer,K | 119 |
| 12 | Durston, C | 140 | 0-1 | Barkhysen, A | 106 |
| 13 | Nielsen, J.H | 139 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Hollingworth, J | 100 |
| 14 | Papworth, D.E | 129 | $1-0$ | Default |  |
| 15 | Primmett,A.D | 128 | 1-0 | Default |  |
| 16 | Bullock,A.C | 125 | 1-0 | Default |  |
|  |  |  | 10-6 |  |  |

13th January 2001

| 1 | Tunks,D | 192 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Law,A | 202 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Stock,T.W | 178 | $0-1$ | Richmond,P-A | 200 |
| 3 | Wikinson,J.R | 167 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Haydon,R | 198 |


| 4 | Marsh,R.D.W | 166 | $0-1$ | Headlong,T | 192 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 5 | Mc Leod,F.N | 160 | $0-1$ | Bourne,J.D | 178 |
| 6 | Newbury,M.L | 155 | $0-1$ | Johnson,B.R | 170 |
| 7 | Perrin,R,D | 153 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Wingfield,N | 165 |
| 8 | Kinsler,D | 153 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Le Quesne,J | 145 |
| 9 | Barber,P | 152 | $0-1$ | Bareham,D | 140 |
| 10 | Dean,S | 150 | $1-0$ | Banda,G | 140 |
| 11 | Jones,J.H | 144 | $1-0$ | Wright,R | 140 |
| 12 | Stenhouse,I.A | 144 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Ward,D.E | 136 |
| 13 | Stuart,G | 142 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Champion,A.W | 134 |
| 14 | Flynn,D | 140 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Woodward,T | 132 |
| 15 | Esmed,Khaled | 138 | $1-0$ | Chase,Jenny | 128 |
| 16 | Marshall,P.L | 134 | $1-0$ | Carver,R | 110 |
|  |  |  | $71 / 2-81 / 2$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAMPSHIRE | v DORSET | (Under 125 Grade) |  |  |  |

## 20th January 2001

| 1 | Le Fevre,S.D | 124 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Kelly,W.J | 121 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Roberson,Peter | 123 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Ambrose,C.J.F | 120 |
| 3 | Manzouri,M | 122 | $1-0$ | Lewis,D.W | 117 |
| 4 | Chilton,Jamie | 124 | $1-0$ | Bower,W | 116 |
| 5 | Young,J | 122 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Beniston,Paul | 115 |
| 6 | Dallas,P | 119 | $1-0$ | Oldfield,J.A | 115 |
| 7 | Harborne,Matthew 116 | $1-0$ | Page,W.G | 112 |  |
| 8 | Smith,Calum | 116 | $1-0$ | Rogan,M | 111 |
| 9 | Eland,David | 115 | $1-0$ | Dalzell,J | 109 |
| 10 | Higenbotham,A | 115 | $1-0$ | Brackner,P | 108 |
| 11 | Sibley,Phil | 115 | $0-1$ | Cox,L.R | 111 |
| 12 | Garrod,M.R | 113 | $0-1$ | Marples,Nick | 115 |
| 13 | Fields,Dave | 113 | $0-1$ | Wilcox,P | 110 |
| 14 | Grant,B | 112 | $1-0$ | Kaye,M | 95 |
| 15 | Drew,David | 111 | $1-0$ | Holland,D.B | 92 |
| 16 | Payne,G.P.W | 110 | $1-0$ | Mackie,N | 81 |
|  |  |  | $111 / 2-41 / 2$ |  |  |

## CORNWALL v DEVON

## 3rd February 2001

| 1 | Menadue,J.F.S | 175 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Parkin,James | 188 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Saqui,D | 173 | $1-0$ | Brusey,A.W | 176 |
| 3 | Sefton,R.S | 165 | $0-1$ | Cox,M | 172 |
| 4 | Grime,R.J. | 164 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Hewson,B.W.R. | 169 |


| Butitus | 145 | 1-0 | Wheeler,J.F | 168 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 HessaliM | 143 | 0-1 | Clarke, i | 164 |
| 7 Lucas,D.G | 138 | 0-1 | Scott,R | 162 |
| - Selmood, C | 137 | 0-1 | Sapiecha, M.W | 160 |
| 5 Metion, T | 133 | -0-1 | Trussler, P | 157 |
| 13 Hooktur, | 127 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Waterfield, J | 154 |
| 11 Letriand, | 121 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Towers,R.C | 153 |
| 12 Cookr, D | 120 | 1-0 | Ingham, W | 151 |
| 13 B-cuer, $K$ | 119 | 1-0 | Regis,D | 151 |
| 14 Ligard,G | 120 | 1-0 | Pinkerton, A | 145 |
| is Hollingworth, J | 100 | 1/2-1/2 | Pope,S | 143 |
| 16 Sprgo, $P$ | 82 | $\begin{gathered} 0-1 \\ 71 / 2-81 / 2 \end{gathered}$ | Waters,Simon | 140 |

WILTSHIRE $v$ SOMERSET

10th February 2001

| 1 | Zeidler, S | 205 | 1.0 | Twyble,M.S | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Marris, C.F | 200 | 0-1 | Bamford,A.B | 154 |
| 3 | Rictrmond,P.A | 200 | 1-0 | Winch,C.E | 148 |
| 4 | Heydon,R | 198 | 1-0 | Jepps,G.N | 144 |
| 5 | Headlong, $T$ | 192 | 1-0 | Fewkes,J.E | 144 |
| 6 | Boume, J. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 178 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Crabtree, A | 140 |
| 7 | Cooper,A.C | 173 | 1-0 | Steer,G | 141 |
| 8 | Joheson,B.R | 170 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Eadie,R | 140 |
| 9 | Wingfield, N | 165 | 1-0 | Senior,N.N | 120 |
| 10 | Phoutsady, C | 150 | 1-0 | Chapman,P.M | 129 |
| 11 | LeQuesne,J | 145 | 0.1 | Wood, J | 129 |
| 12 | Barcham, D | 140 | 1-0 | Barratt, C.F | 129 |
| 13 | Wright,R | 140 | 1-0 | Turner,R.D | 127 |
| 14 | Tomboline, D | 130 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Berryman,G.T | 122 |
| 15 | Ward, D.E | 136 | 0-1 | Baker,M.R | 116 |
| 16 | Champion,A.W | 134 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Smith,D | 111 |

## DORSET v DEVON

10th March 2001

| 1 | Simons,M.J | 191 | I -0 | Parkin,James | 188 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Pleasants,A.J | 179 | $1-0$ | Brusey,A.W | 176 |
| 3 | Willetts,G.J | 174 | $0-1$ | Cox,M | 172 |
| 4 | Crisp,S.C | 164 | $0-1$ | Wheeler,J.F | 168 |


| 5 | Sarahs,K.A | 152 | 0-1 | Hewson,B.W.R | 169 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Needham,H.D | 150 | 0-1 | Bellers, C.J | 168 |
| 7 | Hebert,R.A | 143 | 0-1 | Scott,R | 162 |
| 8 | Bond,M.G | 147 | 0-1 | Brooks, Paul | 164 |
| 9 | Adams,M.W | 142 | 0-1 | Clarke, I | 164 |
| 10 | Durston, C | 140 | 0-1 | Derrick,K.W | 168 |
| 11 | Nielsen, J.H | 139 | 1-0 | Sapiecha,M.W | 160 |
| 12 | Miller,T.W.B | 137 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Trussler, $P$ | 157 |
| 13 | O'Neill, M | 135 | 1-0 | Towers,R.C | 153 |
| 14 | Wallace, P | 134 | 0-1 | Walker, J | 153 |
| 15 | Willis,I.J | 133 | 0-1 | Ingham, W | 151 |
| 16 | Papworth,D.E | 129 | $\begin{gathered} 0-1 \\ 41 / 2-111 / 2 \end{gathered}$ | Regis,D | 151 |
| 17 | McKenna, J | 129 | 0-1 | Rogers,P.M | 144 |
| 18 | Primmett,A.D | 128 | 0-1 | Pinkerton, A | 145 |
| 19 | Phillips,N | 129 | 0-1 | Marriott, P | 143 |
| 20 | Bullock,A.C | 125 | 0-1 | Pope,S | 143 |
| 21 | Leech,A | 125 | 1/2-1/2 | Gorodi, J.G | 141 |
| 22 | Hamilton-Taylor | 123 | 0-1 | Waters,Simon | 140 |
| 23 | Steevens,M | 121 | 0-1 | Halmkin, P.E | 144 |
| 24 | Kelly, W.J | 121 | 1/2-1/2 | Moffatt,W.J | 140 |
| 25 | Ambrose,C.J.F | 120 | 0-1 | Adams, D.J | 135 |
| 26 | Bower, W | 116 | 0-1 | Deakin, C | 131 |
| 27 | Butler, O | 113 | 0-1 | Jones, R.H | 125 |
| 28 | Oldfield, J.A | 115 | 1/2-1/2 | Suttle, N | 124 |
| 29 | Wilcox, P | 110 | 1-0 | Stinton, M | 123 |
| 30 | Abbott, K | 106 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Hamon, Dr M.D | 136 |
| 31 | Legg, C | 103 | 1-0 | Parker, J | 121 |
| 32 | Kaye,M | 95 | $\begin{aligned} & 0-1 \\ & 4-12 \end{aligned}$ | Stephens,J.F.K | 107 |

## HAMPSHIRE v SOMERSET

10th March 2001
1 Tunks,D 192 1/2-1/2 Twyble,M.S 2012
Thompson,D.F $168 \quad 1-0 \quad$ Bamford,A.B 154
3 Wilkinson,J.R $167 \quad 1 / 2-1 / 2 \quad$ Winch,C.E 148
4 Henderson,I.R $164 \quad 1-0 \quad$ Jepps,G.N 144
5 Mc Leod,F.N $160 \quad 0-1 \quad$ Fewkes,J.E 144
6 Jeyaretna,S $160 \quad 0-1 \quad$ Gosling,B.G.E 150
7 Newbury,M.L $155 \quad 0-1 \quad$ Crabtree,A 140
8 Perrin,R.D $153 \quad 1-0 \quad$ Default
9 Barber,R $152 \quad 1-0 \quad$ Eadie,R 140
10 Dean,S $150 \quad 1-0 \quad$ Cole,E.W 135
11 Kinsler,D $153 \quad 1-0 \quad$ Wallis,T.A 137
12 Stenhouse, I.A 144 0-1 Purry,C " 137

| 13 | Stuart,G | 142 | I -0 | Senior,N.N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 14 Flynn,D | 140 | $0-1$ | Chapman,P.M | 120 |
| 15 Esmed,Khaled | 138 | $1-0$ | Dobber,P | 142 |
| 16 Marshall,P.L | 134 | $0-1$ | Wood,J | 129 |
|  |  | $9-7$ |  |  |
| 17 O'Sullivan,Liam | 133 | $0-1$ | Barratt,C.F | 129 |
| 18 Walters,L.C | 125 | $1-0$ | Turner,R.D | 127 |
| 19 Le Fevre,S.D | 124 | $1-0$ | Berryman,G.T | 122 |
| 20 Lacey,E | 120 | $0-1$ | Baker,M.R | 116 |
| 21 Garrod,M.R | 113 | $1-0$ | Smith,D | 111 |
| 22 Grant,B | 112 | $0-1$ | Mills,N | 95 |
| 23 Retallack,K | 105 | $1-0$ | Sherlock.K | 92 |
| 24 Holm,P | 90 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Waters,R.G | 88 |
|  |  | $41 / 2-31 / 2$ |  |  |

## WILTSHIRE v CORNWALL

10th March 2001

| 1 | Richmond, P.A | 200 | 0-1 | Menadue,J.F.S | 175 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Haydon, R | 198 | 1-0 | Sefton,R.S | 165 |
| 3 | Headlong, $T$ | 192 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Grime,R.J. | 164 |
| 4 | Bourne,J.D | 178 | 1-0 | George,I.M. | 163 |
| 5 | Cooper,A.C | 173 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Bartlett, S | 145 |
| 6 | Ward, D.E | 136 | 0-1 | Trudeau,G | 144 |
| 7 | Bosworth,A | 136 | 0-1 | Hassall, M | 143 |
| 8 | Woodward, $T$ | 132 | 1-0 | Lucas,D.G | 138 |
| 9 | Chase,Jenny | 128 | 0-1 | Sellwood, C | 137 |
| 10 | Hayward,P | 116 | 1-0 | Lingard,G | 120 |
| 11 | Carver,R | 110 | 0-1 | Hooker,J | 127 |
| 12 | Tanner,G | 100 | 1/2-1/2 | Cooke, D | 120 |
| 13 | Walters, M | 98 | 0-1 | Lakeland, G | 121 |
| 14 | Brown,D | 100 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Barkhysen, A | 106 |
| 15 | Hall, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 90 | 1.0 | Hollingworth, J | 100 |
| 16 | Hall,D | 94 | 1-0 | Default |  |
|  |  |  | 8-8 |  |  |

## 26 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ East Devon Congress

St. George's Hall, Exeter.

Friday $2^{\text {nd }}-$ Sunday $4^{\text {th }}$ March 2001
The East Devon Congress is one of those "stars barred" events, (max grade 204), designed to keep out the solitary rogue Great White GM and give the up-andcoming a better chance of the glory (and prize money).
Whatever the pros and cons of this approach, it certainly makes for an open contest, where fortune favours the brave. Any one of a dozen players, most of them local, could have fancied their chances this year, but perhaps the two most likely lads at the outset were Nick Frost, a pupil at Exeter School who was Runner-Up in the world Amateur Championship at Hastings last year, and James Parkin, a student at Exeter University, and a former representative of Scotland in the Glorney Cup, and winner of this event last year. Bruce Baer, also of Exeter, winner two years ago, was another prospect.

No surprises in Round 1. In the first game, Baer manages to break through against the White king in the last few moves, although his position is still unclear when White's flag falls.

White: F. McLeod.
Black: B. Baer [B06]
1.d4 g6 2.e4 Bg7 3.Nf3 d6 4.Bc4 Nc6 5.c3 Nf6 6.Qe2 0-0 7.Bg5 Bg4 8.Nbd2 h6 9.Be3 Nxe4 10.Nxe4 d5 11.Bd3 dxe4 12.Bxe4 Qe8 13.h3 Bd7 14.0-0-0 Na5 15.Bd3 Be6 16.Kb1 a6 17.Ne5 b5 18.Be4 Rb8 19.d5 Bxe5 20.dxe6 f5 21.Bxh6 fxe4 22.Bxf8 Qxf8 23.Qxe4 Qf5 24.Qxf5 gxf 25.Rd5 Bf6 26.Rxf5 Ne4 27.Rd1 b4 28.Rc5 bxc3 29.Rxc4 Rxb2+ 30.Kc1

## White: I. Ponter.

Black: J. M. Parkin [B22]
1.e4 c5 $2 . \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{d5}$ 3.exd5 Qxd5 4.d4 Nf6
5.Nf3 Bg4 6.Be2 e6 7.0-0 Be7 8.h3 Bh5
9.a3 0-0 10.dxe5 Qxe5 11.c4 Rd8 12.Qa4

Qc7 13.Nc3 Nc6 14.Be3 b6 15.Rfd1 h6 16.Nb5 Qb7 17.Bf4 Ne4 18.Qc2 Bc5 19.Be3 [If 19.Qxe4 Bg6 and the White Queen is trapped in the centre.] 19...Bxe 3 20.Qxe4 Bc5 21.b4 Bg6 22.Qf4 Be7 23.Nbd4 Bf6 24.Nxc6 Qxe6 25.Rxd8+ Rxd8 26.Rd1 Rxd1+ 27.Bxd1 a5 28.Ne5 Qd6 0-1 Final position.


Round 2.
White: J. M. Parkin.
Black: D. R Cowley [D10]
1.d4 d5 2.c4 dxc4 3.Nc3 c6 4.a4 e5 5.dxe5 QxdI+ 6.Kxd1 Be6 7.e4 f6 8.Ni3 Bc5 9.Be3 Bxe3 10.fxe3


White's central pawn formation so early in the game is hardly textbook stuff. One of
them however, the back-marker on the efile, will decide the game. 10...Nd7 11.exf6 Ngxf6 12.Ng5 Bg4+ 13.Kc2 Ne5 14.h3 Bh5 15.Ne6 Kf7 16.Nf4 g5 17.Nxh5 Nxh5 18.g4 Black now makes the mistake of swapping off White's blocked-in bishop instead of developing his rooks and grabbing the open files. White takes his chance. 18...Ng3 19.Rg1 Nxf1 20.Rgxfl+ Ke6 21.Ne2 c5 22.Rf5 h6 23.Rafl Rae8 24.Ne3 a6 25.Nd5 Kd6 26.Nb6 Re6 27.a5 Ke6 28.Rd1 Rhe8 29.Rd5 Kb5 30.Ke3 Kxa5 31.Nxe4+ Nxe4 32.Rxe5+ b5 33.Rxe4 Rxe4 34.Rxe4 Rxe4 35.Kd3 Re6 This e-pawn, held back for so long, now makes his bid for glory. 36.e4 Kb4 37.Kd4 a5 38.Kd5 Re8= 39.e5 Rd8+ 40.Kc6 Re8+ 41.Kd7 Re2 42.e6 Rxb2 43.Re5 Rf2 44.e7 Rf7 45.Kc6 Rxe7 46.Rxe7 Ka4 47.Rel b4 48.Ra1+ Kb3 49.Rxa5 Ke3 50.Re5+ Kd4 51.Rb5 Kc4 52.Rb8 b3 53.Kd6 Ke3 54.Ke6 1-0

## Round 3

Baer and Parkin stay on course in this round, though Frost comes unstuck.
White: C. Pederson.
Black: B. L. Baer [B06]
l.e4 g6 2.d4 Bg7 3.Nc3 c6 4.Bc4 d6 5.Nf3 b5 6.Bd3 Bg4 7.Be3 Nf6 8.a3 0-0 9.h3 Bxf3 10.Qx13 Nbd7 11.0-0 e5 12.d5 cxd5 13.exd5 a6 14.Rfel Rc8 15.Rab1 h6 16.Qd1 Ne8 17.f3 f5 18.Qd2 Kh7 19.Rfi Ne5 20.Be2 Nf6 21.b4 Ncd7 22.a4 Qc7 23.Rb3 bxa4 24.Nxa4 Qxc2 25.Qxc2 Rxc2 26.Bd3 Rc7 27.Bxa6 Nxd5 28.Bf2 e4 29.Rd1 N7f6 30.Nb6 Nxb6 31.Bxb6 0-1

White: A. Brusey.
Black: J. M. Parkin [B22]
1.e4 c5 2.c3 d5 3.exd5 Qxd5 4.d4 Nf6
5.Nf3 Bg4 6.dxc5 Qxc5 7.Na3 a6 8.Nc4 Nbd7 9.Be3 Qc7 10.h3 Bh5 11.a4 e6 12.a5 Be7 13.Nb6 Rd8 14.Nxd7 Rxd7 15.Qe2 0-0 16.Bb6 Qb8 17.g4 Bg6
18.Ne5 Rd5 19.f4 Nd7 20.Nxd7 Rxd7 21.Qf2 Bd6 22.Ra4 Bc7 23.Bxc7 Qxc7 24.Be2 After waiting all this time to develop his Kingside, White chooses the wrong square, in view of.. 24...Qe6 0-1

Although maybe not entirely his own fault, Nick Frost mistook the start of the aftemoon round, and turned up almost an hour late. Although he managed to avoid the default, the time shortage told at the end when his flag fell with still a move to make. He felt doubly aggrieved as he suspected there was a mate in 4 lurking somewhere in the final position, if only he'd had the time to spot it. The loss put him out of the running.

## White: N. Frost. <br> Black: J. Walker [C45]

1.e4 e5 2.Nf3 Nc6 3.d4 exd4 4.Nxd4 Bc5 5.Be3 Qf6 6.c3 Nge7 7.Bc4 Qg6 8.0-0 d6 9.Nxc6 Nxc6 10.Bxe5 dxc5 11.f4 Bh3 12.Qf3 Bg4 13.Qe3 0-0-0 $14 . \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{~h} 515 . \mathrm{f5}$ Qh7 16.Bxf7 Ne5 17.Be6+ Kb8 18.Qxc5 Be2 19.Qxe5 Bxf1 20.Kxf1 Rd1+21.Ke2 Rhd8 22.Bd5 Rh1 23.Qe7 Rh8 White certainly seems to have a grip on the centre at this point, but can't complete the development of his Queen-side pieces. 24.Qg5 c6 25.Be6 Re8 26.Kd3 Qh8 27.Qf4+ Ka8 28.Kc2 Rd8 29.Qc7 Qf8 30.a4 Rhd1 31.Be8 Rc1+ [31...Rxc8 32.Qxc8+ Qxc8 33.Kxdl] 32.Kb3 [32.Kxcl Rxc8 33. Qg3] 32... $\mathrm{Qg} 8+33 . \mathrm{Be} 6$ Qf8 34.Be8 Qg8+ 35.Be6 Qf8 After a flurry of repetitious moves, White's flag fell just one move before the time control. 0-1

Devon veteran and congress regular, John Wheeler, is always in the hunt in these events, and in this round had the opportunity of an all-out pawn-storm, and took full advantage.
White: J. F. Wheeler.
Black: I. M. Clarke [E73]
1.d4 Nf6 2.c4 g6 3.Nc3 Bg7 4.e4 d6 5.Be2 $0-0$ 6.Bg5 h6 7.Be3 Nbd7 8.Qd2 Kh7 $9.0-0-0$ c6 It's clearly going to be a question of who can get their revenge in first, and White goes for it - a full scale pawn storm. 10.f4 Nb6 11.h3 d5 12.c5 Nbd7 13.e5 Ne4 14.Nxe4 dxe4 15.g4 f5 16.gxf5 gxf5 17.h4 b5 18.Nh3 Rh8 19.Rdg1 Nf8 20.Bh5 e6 Another key moment in the attack. 21.Rxg7+ Kxg7 22.Qg2+ Kh7 23.Rg1 Qe7 24.Bg6+ Kg7 25.Byf5+ Kf7 26.Bxe4 1-0

James Parkin had invited his friend Graeme Kafka down from Edinburgh for the weekend, with every expectation of doing as well as each other. Dorset man Allan Pleasants had other ideas.

## White: A. Pleasants <br> Black: G. Kafka [D15]

1.Nf3 d5 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4$ c6 3.d4 Nf6 4.Nc3 dxc4 5.e4 b5 6.e5 Nd5 7.Ne4 e6 8.a4 Bc7 9.Bd2 Bb7 10.b3 Nd7 11.bxc4 bxc4 12.Bxc4 c5 $13.0-0$ exd4 14.Nxd4 0-0 15.Nf3 Qc7 16.Rc1 Qb8 17.Qb3 Bc6 18.Nd4 Qxb3 19.Bxb3 Bb7 20.f4 Rfd8 21.Ne6 Bxe6 22.Rxe6 Rde8 23.Rfe1 Rxc6 24.Rxe6 Rb8 25.BxdS exd5 26.Nd6 Bd8 27.a5 Kf8 28.Kf2 Rb2 29.Re8 Rxd2+ 30.Ke3 Ke7 31.Nf5+ Ke6 32.Nyg7+ Ke7 33.Nf5+ Ke6 34.Nd4+ Ke7 35.Nf5+ Ke6 36.Rxd8 Rxg2 37.Kf3 Rd2 38.Ng7+ 1-0 Resigns, in view of 38...Ke7 39.Re8\#

## Round 4:

Strangely, Pleasants was now paired with Kafka's friend, Parkin, who felt moved to avenge his friend's defeat. James felt this was his best game of the tournament and provided the following analysis.
White: J. M. Parkin.
Black: A. Pleasants [A57]
1.d4 Nf6 2.c4 c5 3.d5 b5 4.cxb5 a6 5.b6 This move takes all the fun out of the Benko for Black, and was the main reason

I gave it up 2 years ago. 5...d6?! I think this is too slow, and give White an easy game. Either a5, intending Ba6, or [5...e6 6.Nc3 Bb7 7.e4 exd5 8.exd5 when Black can at least pressurise White's weakened dpawn.] 6.Nc3 Nbd7 7.e4 g6 8.a4! This completely ties up Black's Queenside and give him a horrible position. 8...Qxb6 9.a5 Qc7 10.f4 Bg7 11.Nf3 0-0 12.Bc4 I felt this was the correct square for the Bishop, since it prevents e $6 \&$ f6 breaks by Black, which seem to be his only active plan. Also, when the Rook gets to b4 it cannot pressurise e4. If the Bishop were to d 3 in may be harassed by a timely c4 and Nc5. 12...Rb8 13.Qe2 Rb4 14.0-0 Ne8 15.Re1


Why rush, as Black is completely tied up, and there is no need to go in for the complications of grabbing the a-pawn. This would give Black what he wants, and my own a-pawn would fall later anyway. It is far more fun watching my opponent squirm. I have the slow plan of Ra2, Bd2 \& Na4. I also have the threat of e5 constantly hanging over Black's head. 15...f6?? Surely not? Look at his Bishop this has to be one of the worst moves on the board. Kh8 was far better. It might have been more playable after 15... Bd4+ 16. Khl then f6. 16.g4 Qa7 17.5 And Black can now start crying! This is every Benko player's worst nightmare - he must have hated playing from now on. 17...Nc7
18.b3 Rb8 19.Be3 Qb7 20.Reb1 preparing b4, after which Black is practically three pieces down. $20 .$. Kh8 $21 . \mathrm{h} 3 \mathrm{Rg} 822 . \mathrm{Kh} 2 \mathrm{In}$ fact, my only problem is where to put my King since it cannot stay on the g-file. But it is now exposed to potential checks if I play b4 and capture on $\mathbf{c 5}$. Care is still required. 22...Nf8 23.Ne1 Bd7 24.Nc2 Nb5 25.Qd3 Nxc3 26.Qxe3 Qc8 27.b4 gxf5 28.gxf5 cxb4 29.Rxb4 Rxb4 30.Nxb4 Black's queenside is about to fall to pieces since he is almost a whole chess set down - hence the random sacrifice. 30...Bxf5 31.exf5 Qxf5 32.Rf1 The defence is still quite tricky, so I decided the best policy was to surround my King with all my pieces, as it has no pawn cover. The a-pawn can always be captured later, and I didn't want his counterplay to get too intense. 32...Qh5 33.Bf4 Ng6 34.Bg3 Guarding against any nasty checks from e5. 34...f5 35.Qf3 Qg5 36.Nd3 Rf8? Maybe he should have tried to grab my a-pawn by Qd2+ 37.Rf2 Qxa5 38. Rxf5, but I think this will lose just as fast. 37.Nf4 and the defence is complete 37...Ne5 38.Qe2 Qh6 39.Bxa6 Rb8 40.Qh5 Rb2+ 41.Kh1 Qf6 42.Qe8+ Bf8 43.Ne6 Ng6 44.Nxf8 Nxf8 45.Bd3 Kg7 46.Rxf5
final position.


I felt this was a nice prophylactic game, made doubly pleasurable after he had beaten my friend the previous round.

Meanwhile, Bruce Baer had also moved into pole position for the final round, with this win over John Wheeler, in which he demonstrates his penchant for the fianchetto openings, followed by a sharp counter attack in the middlegame.

White: B. L. Baer.

Black: J. F. Wheeler [A07]
1.Nf3 Nf6 2.g3 g6 3.Bg2 Bg7 4.d3 d5 5.0-$00-0$ 6.Nbd2 Nbd7 7.e4 dxe4 8.dxe4 e5 $9 . a 4$ c6 10.Qe2 Qc7 11.Ne4 Re8 12.Rd1 Bf8 13.a5 b5 14.axb6 Nxb6 15.Nxb6 Qxb6 16.Bg5 Nd7 17.Qc4 Bc5


White could have defended here with Rd 2 , though the b-pawn still drops. Instead he sucks in the Bishop, then traps it. 18.Bh3 Bxf2+ 19.Kg2 Nf8 20.Bxc8 Raxe8 21.Ra6 Qc5 22.Qe2 Bd4 23.c3 Ne6 24.cxd4 Nxd4 25.Nxd4 exd4 26.Bf6 Q15 27.exf5 Rxe2+ 28.Kf3 Rxb2 29.Rxd4 Rb7 30.Rxc6 $1-0$

## Round 5

Baer and Parkin were the only two on maximum points, and the only question was whether they would settle for a quick draw, or slug it out to the bitter end.

White: B. L. Baer.
Black: J. M. Parkin [A04]
1.Nf3 f5 2.g3 Nf6 3.d3 g6 4.Bg2 Bg7 5.0-$00-06 . e 4$ d6 7.exf5 Byf5 8.c3 e5 9.Qb3+ Kh8 10.Qxb7 White dares to take the bpawn, but the Queen is constantly harried throughout the middlegame as a consequence. 10...Nbd7 11.d4 Be4 $(\Rightarrow)$ Parkin would clearly be happy to share the points at this stage, with a guarantee of equal first. Baer is not so minded. 12.Qa6 exd4 13.cxd4 Nd5 14.Nbd2 Nb4 15.Qc4 Bxf3 16.Nxf3 Rb8 17.a3 d5 18.Qc3 Na6 19.Qc6 Nb6 20.Bg5 Bf6 21.Bh6 Bg7 22.Bxg7+ Kxg7 23.Qb5 Qc8 24.Ng5 e6 25.Qe2 Re8 26.Qf3 Rf8 27.Qe3 Re8 28.Qf4 Qf5 29.Qxf5 gxf5 30.Rfe1 Kf6 31.h4 h6 32.Nf3 Rxe1+ 33.Rxe1 Ne4 34.Ne5 Nxe5 35.dxe5+ Ke6 36.Rel c5


Position at the 1 st time control. White is a pawn up, has B vs N and the better pawn structure. The majority of games are now over, the hall is emptying except for a small crown gathering round the top game, keen to see how this will end. White has declined a draw twice, and has generally had the initiative throughout, though with much sniping from Black. 37.Bf1 c4 38.b3 Rxb3 39.Rxc4 Kxe5 ( $m$ ) Still Black would be happy to draw. 40.Rc6 Nb8 41.Rxh6

## Rxa3 42.h5 Nd7 43.f4+ Kd4 44.Rg6 Re3 45.Rg7 Nf6 46.h6 Re8 47.Rf7 Ne4 48.Kh2 Rh8 49.h7



Since the time control, Black has managed to centralise his knight, pull back a pawn and neutralise the h-pawn's advance. Now he is in a position to counter on the opposite wing. By this time, almost every game in the hall had finished and the crowd round this game was several deep. 49...a5 50.Bh3 a4 51.Ra7 a3 52.Bx55 Nd6 53.Bbl The Bishop is working hard to the point of overload. 53...Nb5 54.Rg7 Ne3 55.Rg8 a2 The final straw for the Bishop. 56.Bxa2 Rxh7+57.Kg2 Nxa2 58.Kf3 Ne3 59.Rb8 Ne4 60.Rg8 Rh2 0-1

James punched the air in his moment of victory. He had now won the event in consecutive years, winning all ten games in the process. His next outing was to be the West of England Championship at Exmouth over the Easter weekend, trying to improve on his Runner-Up position last year.

Full details:
Premier: U-205

| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | J. M. Parkin | (Exeter University) | $5 / 5$ pts | £200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | R. Jones | (Swansea Valley) | $41 / 2$ | £100 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}=$ | B. Baer | (Exeter) | 4 | £20 |
|  | D. Roberts | (Met Office) |  |  |
|  | A. Pleasants | (Weymouth) |  |  |
| Grading Prize: U-158 $1^{\text {st }}=$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | J. Walker | (Teignmouth) | 3 | £25 |
|  | S. Piper | (Holsworthy) |  |  |

Major: U-150

| $1^{\text {st }}=$ | D. C. Wood | (Burnham on Sea) | 4 pts | £68.75 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | N. J. Wilson | (Taunton) |  |  |
|  | G. N. Jepps | (Frome) |  |  |
|  | M. J. Harris | (Newcastle-under-Lyme) |  |  |

Garding Prize: U-134
J. A. Spiegel (Southend) $\mathbf{~ 1 1 / 2}$

Intermediate: U-125

| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | F. W. Moreland | (Newham) | 41/2 pts | £120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{\text {nd }}=$ | N. Sutie | (Exmouth) | 4 | £25 |
|  | C. H. Pemberton | (Balsall Common) |  |  |
|  | A. D. Hargreaves | (Whitstable) |  |  |
|  | A. L. Therien | (Isca Juniors) |  |  |
| Grading Prize U-116 |  |  |  |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}=$ | P. J. Brown | (Chard) | 31/2 pts | £15 |
|  | P. Brackner | (Weymouth) |  |  |
| U-107 | W. Clarke | (Brixham) | 3 pts | £30 |

Minor: U-100

| $1^{\text {st }}=$ | A. Wright | (Gloucester) | $41 / 2 \mathrm{pts}$ | $£ 90$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3^{\text {nd }}=$ | P. J. Cowley | (Paignton) |  |  |
|  | A. Tatam | (Plymouth) | 4 pts | $£ 20$ |
|  | M. J. Cuggy | (Brixham) |  |  |

Grading Prize U-86
$1^{\text {st }}=\quad$ J. Maloney $\quad$ (Exeter) $\quad 3 \quad £ 10$
J. H. Whitfield (S. Hams)
R. Chubb (Teignmouth)
D. Turtle (Penwith)

| Minor |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}^{\text {sh }}=$ | J. Eyre |  |  |
|  | E. Soper | (Cockfosters) | (Exeter) |
|  | J. Britten | (Brixham) |  |

Team Prize: $1^{\text {st }}=$ Isca Juniors \& Taunton both won clock prizes.

Former West of England Champion, Gary Lane, increasingly known more as an author than a player these days, is always happy to contribute an article for Westward Ho! This time he offers readers some original ideas as Black against the Queen's Gambit

## The Baltic Defence

by Gary Lane

Nowadays, there are plenty of opening books that reveal how to win as long as you play a new idea around move 21. The reality is that most club players would be lucky to get past 7 moves before their opponent played something strange.

I have had a lot of positive feedback about my Batsford book 'Victory in the Opening' that gives numerous way to create surprises within ten moves, which has basically reinforced the idea of how important it is to make sure your opening appears on the board before the opponent deviates into the unknown. This is why I have had enquires about how to play against the Queen's Gambit which has been played for hundreds of years and has become known as a formidable opening weapon. There are loads of books on the subject and even world champions have struggled to get a grip on how to handle the line. Therefore, what should one do if you just want to play a decent game against it? An obvious solution is to move to a remote island to leam the mindboggling complications with special emphasis on minority pawn attacks and isolated queen pawn positions. There is also the practical solution, which is to avoid it!

The Baltic Defence 1 d4 d5 2 c 4 and 2.BfS is designed to annoy White who
usually has to start thinking from move two. The purists will rightly argue that it gives up the centre but that has not put off various star players adopting it such as Keres, Shirov and Akopian. Perhaps the key point is that at club level it is an awkward opening that presents White with a fresh set of problems in the opening.

C. Ward - I. Rausis

Le Torquet, 1992
1.d4 d5 2.c4 男5


The starting point of the Baltic Defence.
3. Wb3 A typical reply that seeks to take advantage of the bishop excursion by putting pressure on the b 7 and d5 pawns.
3....... e5 Black seeks to accelerate his development even if it costs the odd pawn.

## 4.crd5 Hands

up who would take on b7? The problem is that White falls behind on development and is soon in trouble. After 4. ..... ©d7 play might proceed :
a) 5. © c 3 exd 4.0 xd 5 血d6 $7 . \mathrm{e} 4$ © c 5 8. $4 \times \mathrm{xc} 7+$ \&xc7 9.




d3＋0－1 H．Sorensen－I．Rausis， Gausdal 1989.





 A．Mokriak－L．Jotov，Teteven 1991.

4．．．exd4 5．§f3 配5
The Latvian GM Igor Rausis has done much to popularise the opening in recent years thanks to a number of impressive victories．Therefore，it is hardly surprising that he improves on the old ＇book＇line quoted in＇Batsford Chess Openings 2 ＇that went 5 ．．．点e4？！ 6.0 xd4


 had the superior chances in S．Gligoric－ K．Schiffer，Berlin 1971.

|  |  | 6．0）${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Axd4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7．㤟a4＋ | 4 c 6 | 8．dxe6 | b5 |
| 9．Wixb5 | 4 e 7 | $10 . \mathrm{e} 3$ | Eb8 |
| 11．${ }_{\text {deg }} \mathrm{e} 2$ | $0-1$ |  |  |



It is to Chris Ward＇s credit that he still smiles when talking about this game．He told me that it was played at 40 moves in two hours and he had already used up over an hour on the clock，while his opponent had used 2 minutes including the time taken to write down their names on the score sheet．The position is very poor for White because he cannot use his extra
material and has geat difficulty finding time to get his pieces into the action．If 12．exd4 then 12．．．．Dxc6 intending ．．．．$Q \mathrm{~b} 4$ or ．．．． $\mathrm{yxd4}$ is winning for Black． 12.2 c 3

14．bxc3 乌e4 15．gg1 乌e5
The twin threats of ．．． $0 \mathrm{f} 3+$ and ．．．0d3＋ are
decisive．

It is always a good idea to see how to play an opening by looking at the games of someone who regularly employs the defense．Here is how Rausis copes with 3．cxd5．

## V．Ivanov－I．Rausis <br> Daugavpils， 1982

1．d4 d5 2．c4 皿5
3．cxd5 Ivanoy takes on d5，which is one of the critical lines because Black has to give up the bishop pair．The most basic idea is that White has a comfortable position after $3 \ldots$. ．U． Ud 5 ？！which allows 4.4 c 3 岩a5 5．Qb3．

3．．．宫xb 4．飺a4＋This move takes some of the sting out of Black＇s opening but White still has to be careful．The old move $4 . E x b l$ was given an outing in I．Sambale－M．Leipert， Gruenheide 1997，Black castled queenside and started to attack ：4．．．峅xd5 5．a3 ec6 $6.0 \mathrm{f3} 0-0-0$（ $6 \ldots$ 断e4 is also worth a draw when 7．maI e5 8．e3 0xd4 9．©xd4 exd4 10．撆 $x d 4$ is equal．） $7 . e 3$ e5 8．莦c2 exd4

 15．쓸c4 Qe5 16．岩e2 岩xh2 17．b4 罢e7




4．．． 66
See diagram

A gambit played in the spirit of the opening that is based on the idea of getting the black pieces into the game．If you want to play it safe then take a tip from Rocabert Andreu－Gonzalez Manchon，Barcelona 2000，and enter the ending with 4 ．．．． d d 7 there followed：



 1／2．－1／2．


Position after 4．．．c6
5．dxe6 Ivanov takes the opportunity to add a pawn to his collection． 5 ． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{xb}} 1$ H Hx 5 and now：
a） $6.0 \mathrm{Pf} 3 \mathrm{Of6}$（perhaps（6．．．｜ t f5！？）
 （this is one tactic too far）10．炭a4 1－0 F．Gheorghui－H．Elstner，Montana 2000.


 1／2－1／2 A．Czerwonski－ 0 ． Ivannikov，Frydek－Misek 1996.

## 5．．． $4 x$ x 6

7．${ }^{\text {id }}$ 2
9.4 f 3

崾xd4
6. Exbl $^{\text {e5 }}$

11．24？
A classic mistake to assume that in the ending there are no tactics available．The pawn on b4 will soon be revealed as weak so $11 . a 3$ should be considered．

11．．Qe4 The simple threat is．．． $2 \times \mathrm{d} 2$ followed by ．．．自xb4．
12.b5

The only way to avoid an instant loss of a pawn but the drawback is that it releases black＇s queen＇s rook by allowing the a－file to be opened．
12... axb5

13．axb5 \＆b4！
Black stakes his claim for victory．This marvelous move puts White under intense pressure and the open a－file means that a nasty check can be applied if White takes on $\mathbf{b 4}$ ．

$14.4 \times \mathrm{xd4} \quad \mathrm{Or}$


14．．．${ }^{\text {en } 2}$
15． $\mathbf{1}$ c1 There is no way out of this mess．If 15．0b3 © $\mathrm{c} 2+16$. d $^{2} \mathrm{~d} 10 \mathrm{xf} 2+$

 wins．）17．．．b6 18．f3 bxa5 19．fxe4 部4＋ 20． E f 2 比e7 and Black has the better ending thanks to the passed a－pawn and the vulnerable white b－pawn．

15．．．复c5 16．f3 What else？ 16．e3 fails to 16．．．．xxd4 17．exd4 ©c2＋ 18．te2 © $\mathrm{c} 3+$ and Black can go home．

16．．．Dc2＋


| 19．${ }_{\text {d }} \mathrm{d} 2$ | Qxb1＋ | 20．${ }_{\text {b }}$ d3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21．e3 | \％ 88 | 0－1 |

## S．Bell－J．Rowson Newcastle， 1995

1．d4 d5 2．c4 9 955 3． 2 f 3
A reliable system that seeks to secure a small advantage rather than attempt an outright refutation．

3．．．e6 4．嘼b3 Ec6


## $5 . c 5$

A winning attempt because 5 ．${ }^{\mathrm{Ul}} \mathrm{xb} 7$ leads to a quick draw upon 5．．．2b4

9．뿜a7 ga 8 with a repetition of moves．

$$
\text { 5... } \quad \boxed{6} 8 \quad 6.4 \mathrm{c} 3
$$

6． d $^{\mathrm{f} 4}$ is the main alternative when $6 \ldots \mathrm{f} 6$ was tried in 0 ．Romanishin－ I ． Miladinovic，Saint Vincent 2000．The game continued 7．e3 Oge7 8．0bd2 g5
 \＄g6 13．h4 g4 14．日g1 e5 15.0 b 3 㟒e6 16．（．）d3 全xd3 17．曹xd3 崇f5 with equal chances．
$6 .$.
846
7．． M 5
 ©e4 10．0－0 0－0 11．a3 8xc3 12．bxc3 䣽x3 13．峭xd3 e5 $14 . \mathrm{dxe} 5$ 自xc5 $15 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{dxc} 4$

pawn structure in C．Bemard－Z．Klaric， Pau 1988.

| $7 .$. | （ | 8.03 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9．8b5 | Qe4 | 10．944 |  |

It may seem reckless to advance a pawn in front of the king but the idea is that because the centre is closed making it difficult for White to take advantage of the situation．

A surprising move that tantalisingly offers a piece for a lightning attack．

## 13． $5 \times 4$

White plays it safe although 13．惡xc6 is met by 13．．．bxc5 14．蓜1（14．自 $b 7$ © $d 6$ 15．自xd6 cxd6 favours Rowsor）14．．．7xb2 with unclear play but a piece is a heavy price for Black to pay．

13．．．狊xe4 14.13


14．．．bxc5！
Rowson seizes his chance by ignoring the threat on the 逢 to open up the $b$－file to pin the light－squared ${ }^{\text {崖．}}$

> 15.fxe4 a6 16. Axc6

White tries to accumulate material for his峟 but the deciding factor will be his poor development and the कbick in the centre．After 16．exd5 then Black has a strong initiative upon 16．．．巴xb5 17．省d1


16．．．最xb3 17．axb3 cxd4 18．exd4 血b4＋ 19．志d1 哲g5
The threatened mate on d2 compels Bell to take defensive measures but his lack of development makes that task difficult．

20．．．el 蔇e3
21．是xb4 曹xb3＋22．由e2 相xb4
0－1
The theme of playing 畨b3 is closely linked to the Baltic Defence because it is an abvious way to exploit the early ．．．昷f5 in the opening．Another approach is simply develop and take the battle into the middlegame．A timely reminder that 2 ．．．．昷f5 is not a forced win！

## A．Istratescu－N．Managadze <br> Greek Team Ch．， 2000

| 1．d4 | d5 | $2 . c 4$ | 感5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.4 c 3 | e6 | 4.413 | Qf6 |

There is no time for the cautious $4 . . . \mathrm{h} 6$ which was seen in L．Valenzuela－M． Lobos，Vina del Mar 1997．That game

 a5 12．\＆c7 0f6 13. ．$_{\text {ma5 }}$ and the extra pawn gave White the better ending．

## 5．自g5

The bishop pins the knight．Also possible is $5 . \mathrm{e} 3$ which occurred in R ．De Jong－ S ． Wulffers，Dutch Junior ch 1999．There


皿 415 ．ㅐㅡㅂc310．

5．．．c6


## $6 . e 3$

White is content to get on with the job of developing rather than going for a quick knockout． 6 ．Wha3 is the main alternative although it usually means an ending is the result after 6 ．．．．喽b6．For example：
a）7．Wxf6 gxf6 8．e3 ©d7 9．曾e2 0－0－0
 ending is equal but it is worth seeing how to handle such a position．12．．． $\mathbf{H}$ b4 13，a3


 23．由ff © 0424. 由e1 $1 / 2-1 / 2 \mathrm{~K}$ ．Hulak－ d．Zecevic，Croatian Team Ch Ch． 1995.
b）； $7 . c 5$ 菏xb3 $8 . a \times b 3$ White is prepared to accept the doubled b－pawns in return for the semi－open a－file．8．．．రe4 9．迫f4 © $0 \mathrm{~d} 710 . \mathrm{b4} 4611 . \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{~g} 512 . \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{h} 513 . \mathrm{bs}$ e5 14．h4 ©xg3 15．fxg3 g4 16．0h2 ${ }^{\text {dh6 }}$

 exd4＋0－1．Cruz Lopez Claret－P． Brochet，Toulouse 1999．） 6．．．鼻7
7．${ }^{-1 e 2}$
7． H d 3 is also possible．

| 7．． | $0-0$ | $8.0-0$ | ©e4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9． f 4 | 0 d 7 |  |  |

The knight is heading for f6．
10．0xe4 盢xe4

| 11．2）d2 | Hg6 | 12．${ }^{\text {H }} \mathrm{l} 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4f6 | 14. |


15.2xe4 drc4?

It is always nice to be reminded that international masters also make silly mistakes. Something tells me that 15.. 旡xe4 is a big improvement when $16 . f 3$ 思g6 $17 . \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{d} 3$ intending e3-e4 gives White a small advantage.

## 16. ${ }^{\text {Had2 }}$

## 1-0

The Baltic Defence is the perfect way to surprise anyone who plays the Queen's Gambit. The long list of tactics available for Black means that a number of victories could be recorded by gaining a decisive advantage straight from the opening. It is worth a try.

Richard Nash of North Devon, has been doing some research into the history of the Jacques company, which has been world famous for its production of Staunton for a century and a half. As you can see, it all started many years ago in the Westcountry.

## John Jaques and the Staunton Chessmen

The Dick Whittington of fable and pantomime made his famous joumey to London on foot. Thomas Jaques, his $18^{\text {h }}$ century counterpart, chose a farm wagon as his mode of transport. He was born in 1765 , the son of a farmer of French Huguenot descent, spent his childhood in the Wiltshire village of Grittleton, near Chippenham, and as an ambitious lad of fifteen left for London to seek his fortune.

He became apprenticed to a bone and ivory turner, Mr. Ivy, at 65, Leather Lane, Holborn, where his natural ability showed itself early on. Thomas's instinct and good sense extended into his private life, as at twenty-one he married Mr. Ivy's niece. Nine years later his employer and uncle by marriage died, and he took on the business which became "Thomas Jaques, Manufacturer of Ivory, Hardwoods, Bone and Tunbridge Ware", the last being a form of inlaid woodwork. In the same year, 1795, the first John Jaques was born, the third of seven children.

At fifteen, John was apprenticed to his father, and six years later partnered him in the firm. This was flourishing, with handcrafted coat, hat and hairbrushes, paper knives, workboxes, glove stretchers, snuff boxes, ivory fans and chessmen being produced "for Wholesale and for Exportation".

The need was becoming felt for a classic, simple design of chessmen to replace either the omate or roughly turned ones then available. In around 1835 such a design was created by Nathaniel Cooke, proprietor of The Illustrated London News, whose daughter
later married John Jaques II. He took details of this design to John Jaques, by which time the family firm had expanded into additional premises in Hatton Garden. Cooke registered it in 1849, and in that year the Morning Herald commented on the exquisite knights inspired by the Greek horse of the Elgin Marbles, while The Times described the pattern as combining elegance and solidity to a degree formerly unknown.

Howard Staunton was the world's leading player of the 1840 's, promoter of the world's first international chess toumament, chess columnist, author and Shakespearian scholar In The Illustrated London News six months after their registration, Staunton recommended the use of these chessmen. When protection of the design expired three years later, he allowed his name and signature to authenticate every Jaques set, for a fee on each being sold, for only these sets were "genuine Staunton chessmen." The design became popular on account of the pleasing proportions of the men, the ease with which each man could be identified, and not least Staunton's advocacy. Over the years the design was refined, with about fifteen variations subsequently being named after different chess masters. The peak of perfection in design and craftsmanship was probably attained in about 1900 when a good club set, in boxwood and ebony, would typically have kings of height $41 / 4$ inches and base diameter 2 inches, housed in a lockable mahogany box, while sets in ivory and smaller ones down to library size with kings about $23 / 4$ inches high were manufactured.

John Jaques son, JJ II, was also apprenticed into the family firm and with considerable imagination he introduced croquet into England. His display at the Great Exhibition of 1851 attracted wide attention, with the game rapidly spreading in Europe and throughout the British Empire. The appeal of croquet was to allow the fashionable set to step outside the claustrophobic Victorian parlour to take exercise and show off their finery. Moreover, it gave young men and women a legitimate opportunity to mingle and wander off into the proverbial rhododendron bushes momentarily out of sight of their ever-present chaperones. JJ II was regarded as the greatest authority on the game and in 1864 published "Croquet: the Laws and Regulations of the Game", by which, with some revision, croquet is still played today. He also invented many parlour games including Tiddley-Winks (originally spelt Tiddledy), Ludo, Snakes and Ladders and Happy Families with memorable drawings by Tenniel, who brought "Alice in Wonderland" so vividly to life. He also developed dominoes, draughts and backgammon, and his originality and business acumen rewarded him with the Freedom of the City of London in 1869.

His son, John Jaques III, entered the family firm in 1884 and was a very keen athlete. His interests and abilities matched the changes that were taking place in the world of games and sports, and he initiated and extended the production of sports equipment for cricket, tennis, football, hockey, badminton archery and bowls, never losing sight of the importance of craftsmanship. He also marketed the new game called Gossima, presumably because of the featherlight ball, which became ping-pong and then table tennis with its spectacular success as an international sport.

Ironically, John Jaques owes its survival to the company's inventive and topsecret role during the second World War, the deadliest game of all. John Jaques IV
worked with M.I.9, the clandestine Intelligence Department responsible for "Escape and Evasion", the function of which was to help prisoners of war and shot-down airmen to escape and evade the enemy. Under the Geneva Convention, Jaques' games and equipment were allowed to be sent to the prisons, and these were specially crafted to conceal key elements of vital escape kits. Neatly sandwiched between the cardboard of Jaques Ludo or Snakes and Ladders, invisible to the unsuspecting Gemans, was a map. Tightly furled within the wooden handle of cleverly split Jacques lacing awl, used to tighten laces on pre-war footballs, precious currency was secreted, the pegs of Jaques, game of deck quoits were hollowed out to contain a tiny compass, and cribbage boards opened to reveal a long slim panel containing a potentially life-saving hacksaw blade. Prior to the arrival of any of these innocent looking gitts, the prisoners would have received a coded message in correspondence alerting them to the hidden escape kit. Had it not been for this essential war work, Jaques' whole business would have been considered non-essential, with materials impossible to obtain.

Worse was to come during the Blitz of 1941 when Jaques' entire factory, which had been moved from Hatton Garden to Kirby Street, was destroyed. Only the company safe survived, which contained their original Pattern book, the design source of all they had created from 1795 to 1870 , which was almost completely restored. Within a month the company was able to relocate and resume operations in their current headquarters in Thornton Heath, Surrey.

Just after the war, IJ IV invented the phenolic resin woods for playing bowls, superior to any other man-made material at the time. In 1950 he introduced a laminated plastic fibre archery bow, laminated tennis racket frames, and transformed the traditional wooden badminton racket into a lightweight, steel-shafted 4 oz . version. By the 1950's, Jaques had agents world wide selling their unsurpassed range of games and sports equipment, even including darts, skittles and shove halfpenny boards for the pub.

JJ IV was also a master tumer, and personally created a miniature Staunton chess set, hand-carved out of ivory, for Queen Mary's Doll's House, made at her request. As a gesture of her gratitude for the fine work, she made a gift to him of the original $18^{\text {th }}$ century walnut pedestal table.

Thus beautiful chess sets have continued from the time in 1862 when Jaques were awarded two prize medals for their Staunton chessmen until 1972 when they were used in the epic Fischer-Spassky world title match. Although Fischer objected to many of the arrangements and to much of the equipment, the Jaques Staunton men were beyond criticism. Kasparov has taken them for granted, and from the firm's bicentenary year, 1995, replicas of their early Staunton sets have been available.

In 1965, John Jaques passed safely into the hands of his sons, John V and Christopher. Together with Christopher's sons, Benjamin and Emmett, they have kept the firm competitive, continually forging new relationships with companies whose products are equal in quality to their own, taking it into the new Millennium.

## Lane Wedding in $\mathbf{O z}$

Our congratulations go to our regular contributor, Gary Lane, who was married in Sydney on $9^{\text {th }}$ February 2001. His bride was Nancy Jones, whose parents run a book business in Australia. It seems a drastic move to secure an overseas outlet for his own books, but we wish them both the very best for their future together. Although planning to live most of his time in Australia, he will be visiting us from time to time, and aims to be playing in the British Championship at Scarborough, where he hopes to at least equal his excellent performance there two years ago, when he came $6^{\text {th }}=$ in very strong company.

## Portsmouth Congress

## Results

| Premier: $1^{\text {st }}$ | Danny Gormally | 51/2/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{\text {nd }}=$ | Dave Ledger | 41/2/6 |
|  | Philip Rossiter |  |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | M Schlette | 4/6 |
| Major: $1^{\text {st }}$ | D. Badham | 5/6 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}=$ | Teresa Khoo, | 41/2 |
|  | N. Blackie, P. Jackson. |  |
| Minor: $1^{\text {st }}=$ | D. Elliot | 5/6 |
|  | R. Gosden |  |
|  | A. McDougall |  |
|  | P. Zabrocki |  |
| Novices: $1^{\text {st }}$ | Selina Khoo | 51/2/6 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | J. Farrell | 5 |

## Obituary

## D. V. Mardie

Since out last edition, the death was recorded of Dennis Mardle, the noted Gloucestershire player.

At school, he had been a brilliant scholar, but was struck down with polio at the age of 15 , which left him incapacitated. However, he responded to this bad luck with an indomitable spirit, and he continued to excel at his studies. He specialised in cryptography, and became one of the country's leading operators in this field, working at GCHQ in Cheltenham. He played regularly for Gloucestershire, where his play at the board was as brave and courageous as it was in life.

A for Adams.
In the latest FIDE list, Cornwall's Michael Adams is rated $4^{\text {th }}$ in the world, at 2746, just behind Kasparov, Anand and Kramnik.

## Brits are Back.

The British Championship is returning to the Westcountry in 2002, when it comes to the Riviera Centre, Torquay.

## Westward Ho!
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