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| County | Executive Delegate | Council Delegate |
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Welcome back to Westward Ho! after a five year absence! For those who may be new to either the area or the game, Westward Ho! has been the flagship publication of the West of England Chess Union since the earliest days of the Union in the late forties, although its format has varied according to the whims or reprographic facilities of whoever the current editor might be at the time.

As far as I can ascertain, it started as the report on the annual West of England Congress, and Ernie Walker of Weston-Super-Mare played a great part in getting it off the ground in the fifties.

Later, a single-sheet newsletter was added, and by 1971, Tony Bames of Cheltenham was producing the 79th edition. Perhaps the most dramatic development in the magazine's history took place when, in 1977, the North Devon businessman, Ralph Newman, took over from Derek Bond. Ralph, greatly helped by Joan Parker, produced three publications a year, each of about 24 pages, until his sudden death in 1984, while still President of the Union. The pattern of the three issues was that the first of the season consisted mostly of the new Grading List, the second, in late Spring, was an End-of-Season affair, and the third, in the Summer, was devoted mostly to details of the WECU Congress

After Ralph's death, Ken Derrick of Bristol took over as a stop-gap, until our current President, Richard Rendell, took it on as an independent publication in 1987. Richard maintained the same high standard of reporting, until the extent of his commitments, coupled with a career change, made it impossible for him to continue, and it ground to a halt in 1990.

Since then little has happened until, perhaps foolishly, I offered my services at a recent Executive Meeting. Richard has transferred to me enough from the old

Westward Ho! account to ensure that at least two more editions will come out, and those who subscribed to the last edition, and are owed two more magazines, can claim them free of further charge. Others can, of course, buy in at the current rate.

While I almost certainly have superior desk-top publishing facilities to my predecessors, and I hope this is reflected in the look and feel of this new issue, I can in no way claim to be their equal when it comes to playing ability and contacts throughout the seven counties. While playing and analytical ability can to some extent be offset, there is no substitute for knowing who to lean on for good "copy", and to this extent, if Westward Ho! is to survive and prosper I will need the willing co-operation of contributors from all counties.

This problem was undoubtedly a factor in the magazine's demise in 1990; Richard's issues were full of desperate appeals for suitable material. Please may I appeal again to all counties to ensure that material from their particular area is being fed through to the Westward Ho! Editor.
Don't leave it to chance. Set up a line of communication to ensure it happens. If necessary, make this an item on the agenda of your next County meeting.

Please send or fax all material to:-
Keverel Lodge, 40, Phillipps Avenue, EXMOUTH.

## Devon.

EX8 3HZ
© \& Fax: 01395-223340

Having said all that, I must express much gratitude to all those individuals who have responded so well for this edition, which I hope you will enjoy.

Bob Jones

# W.E.C.U. Inter-County Competitions 1994/95 

Harold Meek Competition

|  | Corn | Devon | Dorset | Glos | Hants | Soms | Wilts | Pts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwall . | XX | 6 | 6 | 7 | $31 / 2$ | $61 / 2$ | 8 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Devon | 10 | XX | $91 / 2$ | 11 | 7 | 13 | 9 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Dorset | 10 | $61 / 2$ | XX | 9 | 5 | $101 / 2$ | 9 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Glos | 9 | 5 | 7 | XX | $41 / 2$ | $61 / 2$ | 5 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Hampshire | $12^{1 / 2}$ | 9 | 11 | $111 / 2$ | XX | $12^{1 / 2}$ | $12^{1 / 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Somerset | $91 / 2$ | 3 | $51 / 2$ | $91 / 2$ | $31 / 2$ | XX | 3 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Wiltshire | 8 | 7 | 7 | 11 | $3^{11 / 2}$ | 13 | XX | $\mathbf{5}$ |

## Wayling Cup Competition

|  | Corn | Devon | Dorset | Glos | Hants | Soms | Wilts | Pts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwall | XX | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 2 |
| Devon | 13 | XX | $10^{1 / 2}$ | 111/2 | 51/2 | 10 | 111/2 | 10 |
| Dorset | 15 | 51/2 | XX | 7 | 10 | $51 / 2$ | 111/2 | 6 |
| Glos | 16 | 41/2 | 9 | XX | 6 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Hampshire | 15 | 101/2 | 6 | 10 | XX | 121/2 | 16 | 10 |
| Somerset | 15 | 6 | 101/2 | 7 | 31/2 | XX | 61/2 | 4 |
| Wiltshire | 0 | 41/2 | $41 / 2$ | 7 | 0 | 91/2 | XX | 2 |

Union qualifiers for the Final stages of the Counties Championships were as follows:

|  |  | Champion | Runner-Up |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Division I | Open | Hampshire | Devon |
| Division II | Under 175 | Hampshire | Only 1 entry allowed |
| Division III | Under 150 | Hampshire | Devon |
| Division IV | Under 125 | no entries | -- |
| Minor Counties |  | Dorset | Gloucestershire |

In the Open Section, Hampshire got as far as the Semi-Finals, played in London on June 6th, when they met the eventual Champions Cambridgeshire. Hants were perhaps a little unlucky to be edged out $81 / 2-7 \frac{1}{2}$, and as their opponents only won in the Final on Board Count, Hampshire must feel that with a little more "rub of the green", they could have been National Champions.

Devon met Warwickshire at Bristol in the Quarter-Finals of the Open, and they too were far from out-classed. The match was not decided until the last few minutes of extra time, when three hitherto even positions fell away from the Westcountry representatives. Your Editor throwing away a winning position on Board 16 didn't help either.

Ironically, Devon also met Warwickshire in the Semi-Final of the Under 150 competition, but again the Midland team edged them out, winning 9-7.

$$
\frac{\text { Counties Championship - Open }}{\text { Semi-Final }}
$$

Counties Championship - Under 150
Semi-Final

| Caambridge |  |  | Hampshire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A.J. Mestel (w) | 1 | 0 | J.R.Poulton |
| J. F. Parker | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | R. M. Webb |
| M. J. Turner | 1 | 0 | A. R. Corbett |
| W. R. Hartston | 1 | 0 | R.Abayaekera |
| G. Burgess | 1 | 0 | I.D.Thompson |
| A. J. Cohen | 0 | 1 | C. F. Morris |
| E. N. Holland | 0 | 1 | D. R. Neil |
| D.D.A.Lawson | 1 | 0 | K. D. Gregory |
| M. H. Thornton | 1 | 0 | M.NAnderton |
| B. Hague | 0 | 1 | A.F. Brameld |
| N.D.J.Noden | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | R.C.Noyce |
| D.S.Boyle | 0 | 1 | K.G.Coates |
| R.M.Little | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | M.J. Yeo |
| P.N.Best | 0 | 1 | G.H.Bennett |
| P.B.Faulkener | 0 | 1 | D. Tunks |
| K. Bueker | 1 | 0 | W. Purkiss |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 1 / 2}$ | $71 / 2$ |  |


| Warwicks |  |  | Devon |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| R. Wallman | 0 | 1 | J. Ridolfo |
| L. Collier | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | M. Leigh |
| default | 0 | 1 | I Taggart |
| R. Jones | 1 | 0 | D. Hodge |
| S. Smith | 0 | 1 | R. Carpenter |
| R. Chillcott | 1 | 0 | P. Carpenter |
| R. Reynolds | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | I.S.Annetts |
| E. Lee | 1 | 0 | M. V. Abbot |
| S. May | 1 | 0 | E. Walton |
| J. Coleman | 1 | 0 | S. Webb |
| D. Reeves | 1 | 0 | K. Bloodworth |
| R. Whateley | 1 | 0 | J. G. Gorodi |
| B. Ades | 0 | 1 | C. Barnett |
| T. Russell | 0 | 1 | P. Scott |
| S. Jones | 1 | 0 | M. Jolly |
| C. Lund-Yates | 0 | 1 | D. Rudall |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9 | 7 |  |

## New Award?!

## The Westward Ho! County Player of the Year Award

TThe Editor is considering initiating a new award, open to all players who play for their county. At the end of each season, each county would nominate one player for consideration, sending in details of their score, number of matches played and any other relevant information that may have a bearing. All nominees would be listed in Westward Ho! and the player having the best credentials would be awarded a commemorative certificate which may be awarded at the Council Meeting.

Apart from actual score, other factors could be taken into consideration, for example board number and age. Thus, $5 / 6 \mathrm{pts}$ would be deemed better than $4 / 5 ; 5 / 5$ on Board 1 would be better than the same score on Board 30; and a good score by a 10 year old, or a 90 year old for that matter, would be looked on more favourably, for the purposes of this exercise, than the same score by a 30 year old.

The Editor's decision would be final, but he would try to balance up all the relevant factors. Certainly, the winner will have a truly exceptional record for that season. Hopefully, also, the award may stimulate a small grain of interest in playing for the county, an interest which all match captains will agree has been on the wane in recent years. At the moment this is just an
idea, but if it receives sufficient support from the counties, it could well get under way fairly soon. Please write in to let me know what you think of the idea. Alternative ideas also welcome.


## W.E.C.U. Junior Competitions 1995

Junior Jamboree: Ladymead School, Taunton 11.2.95.
(1) Under 18 Open Team Championship:

|  |  | $\mathrm{Pts} / 12$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | Devon | 8 | Qualify for National Finals |
| 2nd | Somerset | 7 | Qualify for Minor Finals |
| 3rd | Hampshire | 6 |  |
| 4th $=$ | Gloucestershire | 4 |  |
| 4th $=$ | Wiltshire | 4 |  |

(2) Under 18 Girls 1 st Team Championship:

|  |  | Pts/6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | Devon | 4 | Qualify for National Finals |
| 2nd | Hampshire | 4 |  |
| 3rd | Wiltshire | 2 | Qualify for Minor Finals |
| 4th | Somerset | 1 |  |

(3) Under 18 Girls 2nd Team Championship:

|  |  | Pts/6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1st | Somerset | 4 | Qualify for National Finals |
| 2nd | Devon | 2 | Qualify for Minor Finals |

(4) Under 14 ' $A$ ' Team Championship:

|  |  | Pts/16 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | Devon | 14 |  |
| 2nd | Somerset | 13 |  |
| 3rd | Gloucestershire | 8 |  |
| 4th $=$ | Wiltshire | 5 |  |
| 4th $=$ | Hampshire | 5 |  |
| 6th | Dorset | 1 |  |

(5) Under 14 ' $B$ ' Team Championship:

|  |  | Pts/16 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | Somerset | 13 |  |
| 2nd | Devon | 10 |  |
| 3rd | Wiltshire |  |  |

## Individual Junior Championships:

(1) Open Championships: Greendown School, Swindon. 25/26th Feb. 1995

| Division | Winner | County | Pts |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Under 18 | James Cobb | Wilts | $4 / 5$ |
| Under 16 | Charles Cobb | Wilts | $4 / 5$ |
| Under 14 | Richard Colfer | Bristol | $3 / 5$ |
| Under 12 | Matthew Brierley | Somerset | $5 / 6$ |
| Under 10 | Philip Hamilton | Wilts | $5 / 6$ |
|  | Robert White | Glos | $5 / 6$ |
| Under 8 | Philip Hayward | Wilts | $5 / 6$ |

(2) Girls Championships: St. Swithun's School, Winchester. 29.1.95.

| Division | Winner | County | Pts |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under 18 | Cheryl Driver | Hants | $5 / 5$ |
| Under 16 | Emmeline Dobson | Hants | $2 / 5$ |
| Under 14 | Jessica Welsh | Hants | $4 / 5$ |
| Under 12 | Dominique Pierre-Louis | Devon | $5 / 6$ |
|  | Jennifer Chase | Wilts | $5 / 6$ |
|  | Jane Okas | Hants | $5 / 6$ |
| Under 10 | Jodie Mills | Devon | $5 / 6$ |
| Under 8 | Alice Davison | Hants | $5 / 6$ |
|  | Naomi Scott | Devon | $5 / 6$ |

## West of England Congress 1995

## A Blow-by-Blow Account of How the West Was Won by Richard Rendell

Major Prizewinners were as follows:

|  | Name | Grade | Club | Pts |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | Open |  |  |  |
| 1st | Ian M. GEORGE | 176 | Camborne | $51 / 2$ |
| 2nd= | Michael E. BINKS | 197 | Downend \& Fishponds | 5 |
|  | Jack RUDD | 191 | Yeovil | 5 |
|  | lan D THOMPSON | 202 | Camberley | 5 |
|  | Gavin WALL | 211 | Richmond | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Major (<160) |  |  |  |
| lst | Dr. John N. McDONALD | U/G | Frimley | $51 / 2$ |
| 2nd= | Brian H. BOOMSMA | 155 | Paignton Palace | 5 |
|  | Frank PITTMAN | 145 | Weymouth |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minor (<125) |  |  |  |
| 1st | Richard PINDER | 106 | Yeovil | 6 |
| 2nd | J. ROSSEINSKY | 118 | Exeter | $51 / 2$ |
| 3rd= | R. KAHAR | U/G | Musketeers | 5 |
|  | Stuart MILLS | 122 | Nailsea | 5 |

The 50th West of England Chess Union Congress took place this year at the Rembrandt Hotel in Weymouth. This was the first time the event had been held at the Rembrandt, as the usual venue, the Pavilion Theatre complex was unavailable for the whole weekend. This year, the event attracted 118 players, a much higher figure than usual for Weymouth. Of these, 31 entered the Championship, about the same number as previous years. Alas, although many of the players would have said "Yippee!", last year's winner, Stuart Conquest was unable to defend his title. Consequently, the section was much more open and resulted in a very tight competition. However, despite this, there were no less than 75 wins out of the 103 games played, a very high ratio.

## Round 1:

A good round for all the top seeds, who all won, with the exception of Haydon who lost a piece when over-pressing against Egginton and duly lost. Headlong was held to a draw by Kevin House in a Grob.

White: F. N. McLeod
Black: M. J. Simons

1. d4 Nf6
2. $\mathbf{c 4}$ c5
3. d5 b5
4. cxb5 a6
5. Nc3

This is the sort of opening Martin Simons thrives on. 5 Nc 3 is one of the sharper lines in the Benko and I feel McLeod is unwise
moving away from the main line as he falls into Black's hands.

| 5. | axb5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6. $e 4$ | $b 4$ |
| 7. | $\mathrm{Nb5}$ | d 6



Not 7...Nxe4 as this loses to 8. Qe2. It is now essential for White to get in e5, otherwise the poor position of his knight becomes a problem. Best now is 8 . Nf3 or Bf4, preparing e5. Unfortunately, White fails to play either and is very quickly punished.

| 8. Bc4 | Nbd7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9. Nf3 | Nb6 |
| 10. Bd3 | g6 |
| 11. b3 | Bg7 |
| 12. Bb2 | 0-0 |
| 13. Nd2 | e6 |



With this move Black opens up the position to his advantage.

| 14. dxe6 | fxe6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 15. 0-0 | Ba6 |
| 16. Qe2 | d5 |

17. exd5 exd5
18. a4 bxa3
19. Nxa3 Re8
20. Qf3 Ne4


This is a very strong move, threatening the unprotected bishop on b2 and knight on d2. If White takes on e4, dxe4 wins at least a piece.

| 21. Bxg7 | Nxd2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 22. $\mathbf{Q h 3}$ | Kxg7 |
| 23. Bxa6 | Nxfl |
| 24. Bxf1 |  |

Hence Black wins the exchange. However, more importantly, he retains his positional advantage which he quickly turns to a win.
24.
25. Ra2
26. Nc4
27. Nxb6

0-1


Final position.

## Round 2:

Another round with a high proportion of wins, finishing with no less than 5 players on 100\%; namely Wall (who beat Rudd), Thompson (who beat George), Zeidler (beat Hopkins), Simons (beat Egginton) and Phillips beating Chapman thus:-

## White: P. Chapman <br> Black: R. Phillips

50th W.E.C.U. Congress 1995 (Rd. 2)

| 1. d4 | Nf6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Nc 3 | d5 |
| 3. e4 | dxe4 |
| 4. 13 | e3 |
| 5. Bxe3 | e6 |
| 6. Bc4 | Nbd7 |
| 7. Nge2 | Be7 |
| 8. $0-0$ | 0-0 |
| 9. Nf4 | Nb6 |
| 10. Bb3 | Nfd5 |
| 11. Nexd5 | exd5 |
| 12. Nd3 | Bf5 |
| 13. c3 | Re8 |
| 14. Bf2 | Bd6 |
| 15. Bc2 | Qg5 |
| 16. Ne1 |  |



Black with his 15th move has started to take the initiative. White, meanwhile, continues to move his King's knight - this is its 4th move - and whilst e4 is a good defensive post for it, it also hampers coordination. Furthermore, it continues its
journey in 3 moves time, where it remains for the rest of the game.

| 16. ....... | Re6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 17. $\mathbf{B x f 5}$ | Qxf5 |
| 18. $\mathbf{~ g ~} 4$ | Qg5 |
| 19. $\mathbf{~ N g} 2$ | Nc4 |
| 20. h 4 | White has been left |

with only a King-side advance as active play, but this weakens his KIng's defence, especially on the black squares. Black's pieces still aim at the White King and the Knight on c4 is now very powerful.

| 20. | Qe7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 21. | Qb3? |



A losing move in a bad position. Whilst threatening $b 7$, hence getting out of the fork, White cannot defend f 3.21 . b3 Nb2 22. $\mathrm{Qd} 2 \operatorname{Re} 2$ 23. Qg 5 ... is his best continuation, although $23 \ldots \mathrm{Qxg} 524$. hxg5 Nd3 25 Bh4 still leaves him with a very difficult position.

| 21. | Nd2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 22. Qxb7 | Rd8 |
| 23. Rfd1 | Nxf3+ |
| 24. Kfl | Nh2+ |
| 25. Kg1 | Nxg4 |
| 26. Rd2 | Re2 |
| 27. Be1 | Qe4 |
| 28. Rxe2 | Qxe2 |
| 29. Qb3 | Bh2+ |

0-1


Final position.

## Round 3

Wall moved into the lead on his own by beating Zeidler, whilst Phillips held Thompson to a draw, and Binks beat Simons when Simons had to give up a piece to save his Queen in a complicated middle game, stemming from another Benko Gambit. Monk joined Phillips, Thompson and Binks on 2.5 by beating Sellars.

White: S. P. Zeidler
Black: G. Wall
50th W.E.C.U. Congress 1995 (Rd. 3)

1. 24 e5
2. Nf3 Nc6
3. c 3 d 5
4. Bb5 dxe4
5. Nxe5 Qg5!
6. d4 Qxg2
7. Rf1 Bd6
8. Qa4 Nge7
9. Nxc6 bxc6
10. Bxe6+ Nxe6
11. Qxe6+ Ke7
12. Be3

[12.Qxa8 Qxfl + 13.Kxfl Bh3+ 14.Kg1 Rxa8] 12...Bd7
13. Qd5 Be6
14. Qg5+ Qxg5
15. Bxg5+ f6
16. Be3 Bxh2
17. Nd2 f5
18. Rh1 Bd6
19. c4 c6
20. Bg5+ K77
21. Bh4 h6
22. Ke2 g5
23. Bg3 f4
24. Bh2 Bb4
25. b3 Bg4+
26. f3 exf3+
27. Nxf3 Rae8+
28. Kf2 Bxf3
29. Kxf3 Re3+
30. Kf2 g4
31. Bg1 Rhe8
32. Rxh6 Re2+
33. Kfl g3 0-1

## Round 4:

The following game seems highly entertaining, with White well down on material, bailing out with a perpetual check. However, the two players are old friends and this is a known book draw. It was sad they were not prepared to fight harder, and by the end of the tournament both players paid the penalty - especially Phillips.

White: G. Wall
Black: R. Phillips
50th W.E.C.U. Congress 1995 (Rd. 4)

1. e4 Nf6
2. e5 Nd5
3. $\mathbf{c 4} \quad \mathrm{Nb} 6$
4. d4 d6
5. f4 dxe5
6. fxe5 c5
7. d5 . e6
8. Nc3 exd5
9. cxd5 c4
10. d6 Nc6
11. $\mathrm{Nb5}$

Qh4+
12. g3

Qe4+
13. Qe2

Qxh1

14. Bg5 f6
[14...Qxgl? 15.Nc7+ Kd7 16.Qg4+ f5 17.Qxf5 mate]

| 15. Nc7+ | K 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16. e6+ | Kg6 |
| 17. Nf3 | fxg5 |
| 18. Nh4+ | gxh4 |
| 19. Qg4+ | Kf6 |
| 20. Qf4+ | Kg6 |
| 21. Qg4+ |  |



Final position

$$
1 / 2-1 / 2
$$

## Round 5:

In Round 5 Binks (white) comfortably held Wall to a draw, enabling Thompson (who beat Rudd) and Zeidler (who beat Monk) to move into equal first, with Wall on 4 points. Phillips, who could only draw with Headlong, and Binks were joined on 3.5 by George, who beat Simons.

White: D. C. Pugh

Black: S. Pollyn
WECU Championship (Rd 5), 1995

| 1. Nc 3 | c5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. $\mathrm{e}^{4}$ | d6 |
| 3. g3 | e6 |
| 4. Bg2 | Nd7 |
| 5. d3 | Ngf6 |
| 6. f4 | a6 |
| 7. h3 | Be7 |
| 8. Nf3 | Qc7 |
| 9. 0-0 | h6 |
| 10. 24 | b6 |
| 11. Be3 | Bb7 |
| 12. Bf2 | 0-0-0 |
| 13. Qe2 | Rhe8 |
| 14. Nd1 | Nh7 |
| 15. Ne 3 | g5 |
| 16. f5 | Bf6 |
| 17. c3 | h5 |
| 18. Nh2 | h4 |
| 19.g4 | Be5 |

20. Nf3 Bf4
21. Qc2 Nhf6


This move looks rather innocuous. However, should White move the knight from e3 then the sacrifice on g 4 would be interesting, especially as Black can swing both his rooks from the centre to open lines on the Kingside.

| 22. Rfe1 | Rh8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 23. Qb3 | d5 |
| 24. exd5 | exd5 |
| 25. 44 | d 4 |
| 26. Nc 2 |  |

The last few moves have resulted in the knight no longer defending g4, hence allowing the sacrifice. Now Black's pieces suddenly pour through the breaches with alarming speed. The last few moves have resulted in the knight no longer defending g4, hence allowing the sacrifice. Now Black's pieces suddenly pour through the breaches with alarming speed.

26...Nxg4
27. hxg4 h3

| 28. Bh1 | h2+ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 29. Kf1 | Bxf3 |
| 30. Bxf3 | h1Q+ |
| 31. Bxh1 | Rxh1+ |
| 32. Ke2 | Re8+ |
| 33. Kd1 | Qc6 |
| 34. Nxd4 | cxd4 |
| 35. Kc2 | Rexe1 |
| 36. Bxe1 | Qg2+ |



Final position 0-1

## Round 6:

Thompson moved into the sole lead on 5 points when he beat Zeidler, and Wall lost to George. George was joined in second= on $4 \frac{1}{2}$ points by Phillips who beat Haydon. This left Wall and Zeidler on 4 points, who were joined by Binks (drew with Robinson and Rudd (who beat Monk).

## White: I. D. Thompson

## Black: S. P. Zeidler

WECU Championship Rd 6, 1995

| 1. $\mathbf{c 4}$ | f5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $\mathbf{N c 3}$ | Nf6 |
| 3. $\mathbf{d 4}$ | g6 |
| 4. $\mathbf{B g 5}$ | $\mathbf{B g 7}$ |
| 5. Qd2 | Nc6 |
| 6. Rd1 | h6 |
| 7. $\mathbf{B x f 6}$ | Bxf6 |
| 8. $\mathbf{d 5}$ | Ne5 |


| 9. e 4 | d6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10. f 4 | $\mathrm{Nf7}$ |
| 11. Nf 3 | e5 |
| 12. dxe6 | Bxe6 |
| 13. Bd3 | Qd7 |
| 14. $0-0$ | $0-0-0$ |
| 15. Qe3 | Bxc3 |
| 16. bxc3 | c5 |
| 17. Nh4 |  |



| 17 | fxe4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18. Qxe4 | Rde8 |
| 19. Qxg6 | Rhg8 |
| 20. Qf6 | Bg4 |
| 21. Rde1 | Rxel |
| 22. Rxe1 | Re8 |
| 23. Rxe8+ | Qxe8 |
| 24. Kf2 | Kc7 |
| 25. Ng6 | Qe6 |
| 26. Qxe6 | Bxe6 |
| 27. Nf8 | Bg4 |
| 28. 33 | Bd1 |
| 29.g4 | Kd8 |
| 30. Ng6 | Ke8 |
| 31. Kg3 | Nd8 |
| 32. Kh4 | Kf7 |
| 33. Kh5 | Kg7 |
| 34. Ne7 | Ne6 |
| 35. Nf5+ | Kf6 |
| 36. Kxh6 | Nxf4 |
| 37. g5+ | Kf7 |
| 38. Be4 | b6 |
| 39. Bd5+ | Nxd5 |
| 40. cxd5 | Bf3 |

41. c4


Final position 1-0

White: G. Wall Black: I. M. George

WECU Championship (Rd. 6), 1995
At this stage of the game the position is even with White holding a pawn majority in the centre against Black's majority of the Kingside. White also has pressure on the afile, although this is limited. Now White forces the issue on the King-side leaving him with a connected passed pawn in the centre. However, Black is well compensated with pressure down the g-file and an active knight.

Position after 26 moves


| 27.gxf5 | exf5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 28.d5 | Be8 |
| 29.fxg5 | Qxg5+ |

30. $\mathbf{Q g} 2 \quad \mathrm{Nd} 7$
31. Rf1 Bg6
32. Qg3 Nc5
33. Rf2 Kg 7
34. Rg2 Qxg3
35. Rxg3

Kf6
Although Black has been unable to reunite his Kingside pawns, he has now obtained the initiative with active King and knight, to be be followed shortly by rooks

| 36. | Bd1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 37. | Rh3 |
| 38. | Re2 |
| 39. | Rh8 |
| 40. | Rb2 |
| 4e | Be8 |
|  | Rg8+ |



The time control is now reached and White is faced with great problems whilst Black's position plays itself.
41. Kf2

Rag6
42. Kel

Rg1+
43. Nf1 h5
44. Rf4 a4
45. bxa4

Bxa4
46. Bb1 The bishop cannot be taken as 46 .... Nd3+ forks king \& rook. Furthermore the King can be forced on to dl, whereupon the knight collects the bishop as well to a fork on b2. Now the bishop enters White's position.

46
...Bb3
47. Rbf2 R8g5
48. h $4 \quad$ Rg6
49. Rxf5 + Kd6
50. Rb2 R6g3
51. Rf6+ $\mathrm{Ke}^{7}$
52. Rf4 Bxc4
53. Rxb4 Bd3
54. d6+ Kxd6
55. Rd4+ Ke5
56. Ba2 Rxfl+
57. Rxf1 Rxe3+
58. Kf2 Kxd4

and White lost on time, but he is lost anyway.

0-1

## Round 7:

As Thompson had already played his two nearest rivals (George \& Phillips), he had to play Black against the highest graded player available on 4 points. Unfortunately for him, this was the top seed, Wall. George, meanwhile, played White against Phillips.
After 23 moves the respective positions were:-

> Wall v Thompson



At this stage the Wall/Thompson position was reasonably even, although White stood a little better; whilst George was a pawn down with minimal compensation. Hence, it appeared that if Wall could beat Thompson, he would do his mate Phillips a great favour, as a win also for Phillips would leave him WECU Champion.

The George v Phillips game continued as follows from the above position:

## White: I. M. George

Black: R. Phillips

| 24. | Rad1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25. | Cxd4 |
| 26. | Bxg7 |
| 27. | Qe5 + |
| Qxa2 | Kg8 |
| 28. | Rd5 |
| 29. | Rd7 |
|  | Qa6 |
| Qf6 |  |

Black has won a second pawn and has stopped his opponent from obtaining any perpetual check. however, instead of sitting tight for the time control at move 40 he allows a swop of queens. Perhaps he thought the ending was trivially won, but rooks \& pawns endings are difficult to win. This gives White a glimmer of hope

| 30. | Qxf6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 31. | Rb1 |
| 32. | Ra1 |
| 33. | Rb1 |
| 34. | Re7 |
| 3e8 | Red8 |
| 3e8 |  |

35. Rd7 Still 6 moves to the time control and Black, with minimal time left, cannot afford to repeat the position. At this stage, George offered a draw which Phillips declined. 35...Re4
36. Rbb7 Rh4
37. c4 a5
38. c5 Rc8
39. c6 K88
40. Rf7+ Ke8??


At last the time control is reached, but unfortunately for Black, White has a forced win. $40 \ldots . \mathrm{Kg} 8$ would have provided at least a draw.
41. Rbe7+ Kd8
42. c7+

White: G. Wall
Black: I. D. Thompson
WECU Championship (Rd. 7), 1995
from the given position.
24. g4 Rac8
25. Rg1 b3?! This move unsettles the whole position and a mass trade-off.

26. Bxa6
27. cxb3

Qxa6
28. Kg3
29. Nb5 Rc2
30. Rac1 Qc6? This allows

White to win the queen for rook \& knight
31. Nd4 Rxcl
32. Nxc6 R1xc6
33. Qa7 Re3+
34. Kh4 Rxb3
35. Qxa5 h6
36. Rg3 Rbb8
37. g5 hxg5+
38. Kxg5 Rc4
39. Qa7 Rbc8
40. a5 R4c7


Time control is reached. Both players have a passed pawn to play with, but White's is much stronger. Furthermore, the knight on b2 is totally out of the game. It would appear the title is slipping from Thompson's grasp.

| 41. Qd4 | Nc4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 42. a6 | Na5 |
| 43. Ra3 | Nc6 |
| 44. Qb6 | Na7 |
| 45. Rh3 | Rd7 |

45. Rh3 Rd7
46. Qb1 Suddenly White switches his attack to the King-side. Now if 46 .. $\mathrm{g} 6 ; 47 . \mathrm{Kf6}$ and the queen quickly moves in for the kill - via el and h4. Hence, Black must play ... 46...f6+

| 47. exf6 | gxf6+ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 48. Kxf6 | Rf7+ |
| 49. Ke5 | Ne6+ |
| 50. Kd6 | Ne7 |
| 51. a7 | Nf5+ |
| 52. Ke5 | Rxa7 |

53. Rb3 and Black lost on time.


Final position. 1-0
This left Ian George in sole first place on $51 / 2$ points, hence winning his first WECU Championship. Wins for Binks (over Hopkins) and Rudd (over Headlong) enabled them to join Wall and Thompson in joint second place on 5 points. Of course, if Phillips had accepted George's offered draw, this would have left a sixway tie and a major headache for me. Fortunately he didn't.

## West of England Championship 1995

| No. | Name | Club | Grd | Rd1 | Rd2 | Rd3 | Rd4 | Rd5 | Rd6 | Rd7 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | George, I.M. | Camborne | 175 | 25+ | $2-$ | $17=$ | 13+ | 18+ | 3+ | $6+$ | 51/2 |
| 2 | Thompson I.D. | Camberley | 202 | 9+ | 1+ | 6= | 11= | 5+ | $7+$ | $3-$ | 5 |
| 3 | Wall, G | Richmond | 211 | 23+ | 5+ | $7+$ | 6= | 4= | 1- | $2+$ | 5 |
| 4 | Binks, M. E. | Downend | 197 | 14+ | 11= | 18+ | 15= | 3= | 8= | 10+ | 5 |
| 5 | Rudd, J | Yeovil | 191 | $26+$ | 3- | $12+$ | 10+ | 2- | 11+ | 15+ | 5 |
| 6 | Phillips, R | Grendel | 199 | 12+ | 19+ | $2=$ | 3= | 15= | 17+ | 1- | 41/2 |
| 7 | Zeidler, S P. | Devizes | 202 | 26+ | 10+ | 3- | 29+ | 11+ | 2- | 8= | 41/2 |
| 8 | Robinson, J. K. | Leicester | 179 | 16= | $22+$ | 15= | 17= | 20+ | 4= | $7=$ | 41/2 |
| 9 | Osborne, D. C. | Grendel | 170 | 2- | 17- | 28+ | 19+ | 26+ | 15= | 18+ | 41/2 |
| 10 | Hopkins, J. R. | Weymouth | 174 | 27+ | 7 - | 21+ | $5-$ | 16+ | 29+ | 4- | 4 |
| 11 | Monk, J. C. | Trowbridge | 182 | 31+ | 4= | $13+$ | 2= | 7 - | 5- | $17+$ | 4 |
| 12 | Goater, K | Southampton | 166 | 6- | $27+$ | 5- | 21+ | 24= | 20+ | 13= | 4 |
| 13 | Sellars, M. R. | Horfield | 171 | bye | 16+ | 11- | 1- | 25+ | 24- | 12= | 4 |
| 14 | Taylor, G. R. | Gloucester | 168 | 4- | 31+ | 24= | 16= | 17- | $26+$ | 19+ | 4 |
| 15 | Headlong, T | Swindon Libraries | 194 | $22=$ | 29+ | $8=$ | 4= | $6=$ | 9= | 5 - | 31/2 |
| 16 | Gosling, B. G | Frome | 151 | $8=$ | 13- | 25+ | 14= | 10- | by= | 24+ | 31/2 |
| 17 | Haydon, R | Swindon Libraries | 199 | 21- | 9+ | 1= | $8=$ | 14+ | 6- | 11- | 3 |
| 18 | Simons, M | Southboume | 191 | 30+ | 21+ | 4- | 20= | 1- | by= | 9. | 3 |
| 19 | Chapman, P | Yeovil | 161 | 24+ | 6- | 20- | 9- | $22+$ | 23+ | 14- | 3 |
| 20 | Lea, E. G. | Supermarine | 180 | def | $28+$ | 19+ | 18= | 8 - | 12. | 21= | 3 |
| 21 | Egginton, D | Nailsea | 162 | 17+ | 18- | 10- | 12- | $30=$ | $27+$ | 23= | 3 |
| 22 | House, K.C. | Nailsea | 165 | 15= | 6- | 26- | 28= | 19- | 30+ | 27+ | 3 |
| 23 | Pugh, D. C. | Grendel | 174 | 3- | 25= | 31+ | 26= | 29- | 19- | 28+ | 3 |
| 24 | House, P.G. | Southampton Uni. | 186 | 19- | 26= | 14= | 30+ | $12=$ | 13- | 16- | 21/2 |
| 25 | Biddick, E. I. S | Hanham | 136 | 1- | 23= | 16- | 27+ | 13- | 28= | 26= | 21/2 |
| 26 | Taylor, P.J. | Merck | 162 | $7-$ | 24= | 22+ | 23= | 9 - | 14- | 25= | 21/2 |
| 27 | Rowbottom, D | Grendel | u/g | 10- | 12- | by + | 25- | 28= | 21- | 22- | 11/2 |
| 28 | Crisp, S.C. | Oakdale | 155 | 7. | 28 - | $9-$ | $22=$ | 27= | 25= | 23- | 1112 |
| 29 | Pollyn, S | Weymouth | 136 | def | 15- | $30+$ | 7. | 23+ | 10- | - |  |
| 30 | McLeod, E.N. | Southampton Uni. | 152 | 18- | bye | 29- | 24- | 21= | 22 - | - |  |
| 31 | Simms, T. E. | Oakdale | 161 | 11- | 14- | 23. | - | - | - | - |  |

## A Window On The Past


#### Abstract

Desmond Pott, Chairman of Wiltshire County Chess Association, discovers an unsuspected hive of chess activity in sleepy Wiltshire at the turn of the century.


At an end-of-season pub meal in 1990, Richard Rendell, then Chairman of the Wiltshire Association, remarked that there were no County records dated earlier than 1932, when the County Chess Association was revived under the leadership of Canon A. G. Gordon Ross, Swindon Chess Club and President of the B.C.F. 1921-38. That led me to look through the records of the Salisbury Chess Club where I found a small batch of press cuttings covering the four months from December 1900, which threw some light on our murky past and make fascinating reading.

The cuttings establish that there was then a flourishing County Association, one report commenting that "Chess has been well-organised in Wiltshire for 10 years, and their county championship tourney, under the able management of Mr. A. Schomberg as hon. secretary, is most successful". Mr. Schomberg was then the regular Board 1 for the Devizes Club and also a very enthusiastic simul-giver: in the four month period alone, he travelled to Calne, Pewsey, Swindon, Calne (again) and Mere, his full record being W37, D16, L17 = $64.3 \%$. One wonders whether he was paid for these displays, at least enough to cover his expenses.

Two county matches are reported, the full score sheet being given for both. Apparently, Wilts, Somerset and Glos. played one another over 16 boards to decide which of them should proceed to the Southern Counties Championship Finals. The first of the reports, dated 23.2.01., records the first-ever victory of Wiltshire over Somerset (by $91 / 2-51 / 2$ ) at Trowbridge, and notes that the Bd. 5 result ( a draw, making the final score 10-6) was not known at the time of going to press because the game had been played at the home of one of the players involved! A week later, Somerset lost to Gloucestershire 5-11, but no further details were given.

The crunch match, then, between Wilts and Glos is reported at length - over 12 column inches, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches wide and very small print - but unfortunately no games or positions were
included. Wilts were strengthened by six new players coming in, (the redoubtable Canon Ross drawing on Bd. 1 incidentally) whereas Glos were weakened by 4 team changes. Nevertheless, Glos emerged victorious by 10-6.

Here are some interesting details from the report. The openings played on the various boards were as follows:-

| Opening | Boards |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ruy Lopez | $1,3,6,8,9$, |
| Centre Gambit | 2 |
| Queen's Gambit | $4,10,12,13$ |
| Centre Counter Gambit | 5 |
| Sicilian | $7,15,16$ |
| Guioco Piano | 11 |
| French Defence | 14 |

A certain Miss Rudge, described as the "Lady Champion of the World", had been expected to play for Glos, but did not appear. Does any reader have further information on this lady and her qualifications for assuming this title? Col. Law, who had played on Bd. 2 for Wilts v. Somerset, and lost, was relegated to Bd. 6 because of the team-strengthening, and had to leave the match early to catch a train (!): the game was adjudicated a loss for him.

The match was played at the Imperial Hotel, Clifton, and began at 4.15 p.m., which no doubt reflected the facts that many people, who would today enjoy a 5 day week, then worked on Saturdays to at least midday, and that trains were the usual means of transport between towns. Play was at the rate of 24 moves an hour and clocks were used. There was a break for refreshments at 5.45 and play stopped at 7.45 , so it could easily have been 11 o'clock or later before some of the visitors got home.

What about club chess in Wiltshire, you may ask? Well, it seems to have been more vigorous than it is today. The cuttings reveal the existence of 10 clubs - Bradford, Calne, Chippenham, Devizes, Marlborough, Melksham*, Pewsey Vale*, Salisbury, Swindon and Warminster. (* = unaffiliated), with Mere being revived in
addition: there may have been more. Today, there are 9 affiliated clubs, of which two are exclusively junior clubs. When the W.C.C.A. was revived in 1932, there were initially only 3 participating clubs - Malmesbury, Salisbury and Swindon - though there was known to be at least one other club, (Ramsbury)

Not only were there more clubs then, but the average membership was probably greater than it is today. For instance, in 1896/97 the Salisbury Club boasted 33 members, whereas this season it has only 13. There were no juniors or women included in the 33 , but the current 13 includes 2 juniors and 2 lady members, evidence of the Victorian belief that "children should be seen and not heard". (I can remember my Grandfather saying that, rather stemly, at a family Sunday lunch in the mid-20s!) and "A woman's place is in the home". How times have changed! Now junior chess is thriving and a highly-organised activity in its own right, whilst women are featuring more and more in the game, as witnessed by the election at a recent Wiltshire A.G.M. of Fenella Cohen as Match Captain for the 1995/96 season. Is this a first for any county?

There was extensive reporting of inter-club matches, both friendlies and cup ties, although no league appears to have existed. One interesting curiosity was that occasionally two games were played between the same two players, presumably with colours reversed in the second game, and both games counting in the match result. A striking feature is the number of clergymen then playing in the county, nine being named, of whom three belonged to the Warminster Club.

The most obvious difference between these reports and the ones we are able to get into the Salisbury Journal today, lies in their length and scope. My earlier comments on the Glos $v$ Wilts match give an idea of the length. The scope is well-illustrated by the report dated 15.12.1900 which opens with Mr. Schomberg's correct score for his simul. v. Swindon, and then goes on to relate that in the North v South correspondence chess match, Mr. Burn had won on top board for the North against Mr. Gunston of Cambridge ( $11 / 2-1 / 2$ ) and that Mr. Moore of Manchester had donated a handsome trophy to be competed for by the Northern Counties (asking "Will no one do the same for the South?") The next paragraph relates that the Great Western Railway had
beaten the Midland Railway in a friendly match, and gave the names of all the Swindon Club members who had played for the GWR and their scores. Two full match scores for cup ties followed - Devizes $1 / 2$; Salisbury $41 / 2$ and Warminster $41 / 2$ - Bradford $1 / 2$, and finally the full score was set out of an 18 move game (and the diagrammed final position) played the previous week between two unnamed players in the City of London Tournament. Altogether, nearly. 7 inches of a $21 / 2$ inch-wide column!

The North v. South correspondence match was continually updated. On 16.02 .01 . the full match score sheet of Swindon 4.5 v . Paddington 4.5 was given. No, you railway buffs, the great G. J. Churchward did not play for Swindon! On 12th January it was announced that Mr. Blackburne would visit Trowbridge on 25th \& 26th January, playing blindfold against 6 opponents on the former date at 1 shilling ( 5 p ) a board, and simultaneously (sighted) against any number on the latter date at $6 d(21 / 2 p)$ a board. Alas, there is no record that the visit took place. Does any reader know? A later report said that the Rev. J. $F$. Welsh was trying to induce the then World Champion Dr. Lasker, to visit Wiltshire after his forthcoming visit to Bristol. Did either visit take place?

Before closing the shutter on what is not so much a window into the past, but more a little peep-hole, here is a game taken from a cutting dated 12.01 .01 , selected and analysed by my Club colleague Bob Lynn, currently Salisbury's strongest player. The game was played the previous Saturday at The Woolpack, Trowbridge, on Bd. 2 in a match won 4-2 by Swindon., evidently then the strongest Wiltshire Club. Mr. Plaister had played Bd. 4 and Mr. Woodrow Bd. 10 in the above Wilts v. Glos match, and so were no slouches in their day.

## J. Woodrow (Salisbury) C. A. Plaister (Swindon) 1901

1. 44
2. Nf3 Ne6
3. d4 exd4
4. Nxd4 Qh4 Such an eccentic and potentially troublesome move would hardly have occured to the modern player. Black stamps his
style on the game and starts on the road to scatty territories.
5. Nb5 Qxe4+ White takes up the challenge. Otherwise 5.Nc3 Bb4 doesn't help much.

## 6. $\mathrm{Be}^{2}$ <br> Bb4+

7. c3

Ba5 A nice idea to protect c7. White could have played 7. Bd2, when presumably Black would have kept up the spirit with Kd8. Then, if 0-0 Nf6 etc
8. 0-0
Nge7
9. Bd 3
Qh4
10. Re1
a6
11. Re4 Qf6 White, a pawn down, erroneously embarks on a wild idea which might have come off.
12. N5a3 d5
13. Rf4 Qd6
14. Qe2 White is already in a bit of a pickle. He clearly doesn't relish the idea of the Black Knight coming to g 6 . I suspect that some vigorous play such as Qh 5 or Be 3 might have been better.
14 ... g5 Modern players might opt for the safer Be6
15. Ra4 It was vital that this square was kept open for the rook. Why else should it have gone to e4!?
15
...b5


Now for the fun!
16. Bxb5 axb5
17. Nxb5 Qh6
18. b4 If 18. Nc7 Bc7 19. Ra8 Qh2+. White has his eye on the b2-h8 diagonal.
18
Bb7 Suddenly, Black's position does not look quite so comfortable. Altematives, however, seem worse.
19. bxa5 Kd8 The King is less exposed here than on d 7 where White can simplify into a favourable ending with $\mathrm{Qg} 4+$ and Qg 5 .
20. Nd4 But this is definitely not right
20...

Nxd4
21. cxd4 Ba6
22. Qe3 Qc6
23. Ba3 Qxa4
24. Qxe7+ Kc8
25. Nd2 Qe8
26. Qxg5 Rg8
27. Qf5+ Qe6
28. Qxh7 Kd7
29. Nf3?


The final blunder. g 3 would have held on for a bit longer. 29...Rxg2+
30. Kxg2 Qg4+
31. Kh1 Qxf3+
32. Kg1 Bd3
33. $\mathbf{Q g} 7 \quad$ Ra6
34. Be7 Rg6+

0-1

No doubt soberly dressed, these gentlemen certainly played with panache!


## Icelandic Interlude

Most of the West of England County teams contain a loyal core of regular players who give their side's composition a familiar look - and very grateful their captains are, no doubt. Also, in those counties that pursue a positive policy towards the encouragement of junior players, the regulars are supplemented by a steady supply of good young players who work their way up the batting order till they find their level.

On the other hand, it's very nice, from time to time, to have an injection of readymade talent from an external source. Such was Devon's experience this season when the Icelandic I.M. Ägust Karlsson turned up unexpectedly at Exeter University to do a year's course in Law.

Ägust, born on the 1st August (of course) 1963, was something of a junior prodigy, and by the age of 19 had a chapter to himself in the book "Icelandic Chess Masters", published in the mid-80s.

In spite of his legal studies, he turned out regularly on top board for Devon as well as playing for Bristol \& District in the National Chess League.

As something of a farewell do, Ägust, in conjunction with Brian Hewson, arranged a friendly 6 board match between the Exeter club and Ägust's own club from Hafnarfjordur, Iceland's third largest town with a population of just 16,000 . Such is the strength of the Icelanders that to make things fair, they left a $200+$ grade player at home and Ägust played for Exeter, who were also allowed to play Michael Zuber of Switzerland, currently on an even shorter stay in the U.K.

Before the start of the match, commemorative gifts were exchanged, each Exeter player receiving a copy of "Vid Skákbordid I Aldarfjordung" (25 Years At The Chessboard) by Fridrik Ólafsson, and
the Club library was given an IcelandicEnglish dictionary to help with the translation. In return, each Icelander received a bottle of Thomas Hardy's Wessex Ale, with a suggestion it be drunk sooner rather than later. This invitation was respectfully declined, probably wisely, and the match got under way, which, in spite of some exciting games, was drawn.

|  | Exeter |  |  | Hafnarfjordur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ä Karlsson 214 | 1/2 | 1/2 | B.F. Bjornsson 202 |
| 2 | K. B. Hills 185 | 0 | 1 | S. Bjornsson 196 |
| 3 | M. Zuber 181 | 1/2 | 1/2 | H. Asgiersson 183 |
| 4 | B. Hewson 174 | 0 | 1 | Sv Bjornsson 174 |
| 5 | P. Lane 161 | 1 | 0 | E.K. Einarsson 172 |
| 6 | C.J.Bellers 171 | 1 | 0 | A. Magnusson 151 |
|  | Total | 3 | 3 |  |



## County Correspondence Championship

## Division III (Brown-Pond)

| $1=$ | Essex IV | 14 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $1=$ | Warwickshire III | 1.4 |
| $3=$ | Devon II | 12 |
| $3=$ | Essex III | 12 |
| 5 | Leicestershire II | 11 |
| $6=$ | Kent III | 10 |
| $6=$ | Lancashire II | 10 |
| $8=$ | Surrey II | $81 / 2$ |
| $8=$ | Warwickshire II | $81 / 2$ |
| 10 | Worcestershire | 7 |
| $11=$ | Greater Manchester II | $61 / 2$ |
| $11=$ | Merseyside II | $61 / 2$ |

Full result tables for Divisions I \& II can be found on another page.

## The Times National Schools Championship 1995

TThe West of England was represented in the Semi-Finals, as so often in recent years, by Truro School. Form suggested they would get through to meet the holders, Ipswich School in the Final.

In their Semi- Final match against Maidstone Grammar, Truro looked set fair, leading 3-2 and a superior position in the last game. However, the Maidstone player snatched an unlikely win to tie the score, leaving Truro to lose out on the age handicap.

| Truro School |  |  | Maidstone G.S. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Roland Cole | 1 | 0 | Jeffrey Titmas |
| Andrew Greet | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | J. Knowles |
| Jonathan Davies | 0 | 1 | D. Titmas |
| Jack Searle | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | M. Noakes |
| Cornelia Schneider | 1 | 0 | John Titmas |
| David Greet | 0 | 1 | T. Jarrett |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |

Here is the Truro Captain, Roland Cole's steady win on Board 1 .

Roland Cole (Truro School) Jeffrey Titmas (Maidstone G.S.) Times National Schools Competition (Semi-Final), 1995

| 1. d4 | Nf6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. c4 | e6 |
| 3. Nc 3 | Bb4 |
| 4. g3 | Bxc3+ |
| 5. bxc3 | d6 |
| 6. Bg2 | 0-0 |
| 7. Nf3 | Nbd7 |
| 8. 0-0 | Qe7 |
| 9. Nd 2 | e5 |
| 10. e4 | c5 |
| 11. d5 | Ne8 |
| 12. Qc2 | g6 |
| 13. $f 4$ | exf4 |
| 14. gxf4 | Ng7 |
| 15. Ba3 | f5 |
| 16. Rae1 | fxe4 |
| 17. Nxe4 | Qh4 |



Abandoning the backward pawn in the hope of counter-play.
18. Nxd6 Rxf4
19. Rxf4 Qxe1+
20. Rfl Qe7
21. Ne4 Nf5
22. Qf2 Ne5
23. Bxc5 Qd8
24. Bd4 Nxc4
25. Nf6+


Here ensues a sequence which suggests shortage of time.

| 25 |  | ........ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26. | Kh8 |  |
| 26. | Ne8+ | Kg8 |
| 27. | Nf6+ | Kh8 |
| 28. | Kh1 | Nce3 |
| 29. | Ne8+ | Kg8 |
| 30. | Nf6+ | Kh8 |
| 31. Qf4 | Nxd4 |  |
| 32. Qxd4 | Nf5 |  |
| 33. | Rxf5 |  |

Cornelia Schneider (Truro School) Timothy Kiddell (Ipswich School)

Times National Schools Tournament (3rd Place Play Off), 1995

| 1. e 4 | d6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. d4 | Nf6 |
| 3. Nc 3 | g6 |
| 4. f4 | Bg7 |
| 5. Nf3 | 0-0 |
| 6. h3 | c6 |
| 7. Be3 | b5 |
| 8. a3 | Qc7 |
| 9. Qd2 | Bd7 |
| 10. Bd3 | Qb7 |

Truro met Maidstone in the 3rd place playoff, needing $3^{1 / 2}$ points to win, which they off, needing $31 / 2$ points to win, which they
duly did, with the help of the following win from Cornelia Schneider.

| Truro School |  |  | lpswich School |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R. Cole | 1 | 0 | N. Pert |
| A. Greet | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | R. Pert |
| J. Davies | 0 | 1 | M Fletcher |
| J. Seale | 1 | 0 | M O'Connell |
| C. Schneider | 1 | 0 | T. Kiddell |
| D. Greet | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | T. Fletcher |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |



If $B \times R$, then the discovered check, from the queen rather than the earlier bishop, is swiftly fatal. 33...Bxf5 1-0

In the other semi, the holders, Ipswich, had been surprising beaten 5-1 by Methodist College, Belfast. They went on to meet Maidstone in the Final, and again it went to a nail-biting, last game finish. As the older team, Belfast had to get at least 4 points to win the match, and their last player to finish, a whole piece down, found a winning combination to give the Irish team $41 / 2$ points, Ireland's first win in the competition.


Apart from castling, White is now ideally developed, whereas Black's Q-side configuration looks less than perfect.

| 11. g4 | a5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12. e5 | Ne8 |
| 13. 55 | b4 |
| 14. axb4 | axb4 |
| 15. Rxa8 | Qxa8 |
| 16. Nd1 | Nc7 |
| 17. h4 | Nba6 |
| 18. h 5 | c5 |
| 19. Rh3 | cxd4 |
| 20. Bxd4 | Nd5 |
| 21. Qh2 | dxe5 |
| 22. Nxe5 | Nf6 |
| 23. hxg6 | h6 |
| 24. g5 | Ng4 |
| 25. gxh6 |  |



Cornelia feels confident enough to give up her queen while pressing on with her pawn storm on the king's position.
25.
........ Nxh2
26. $\mathbf{g x f 7}+\quad$ Kh7
27. hxg7+ Kxg7
28. Nxd7+ Kxf7
29. Rxh2 Mustn't overlook defence at this time.
29 ........Rd8
30. Ne5+ Ke8
31. Rh8 Resigns

Black had had to play his last few moves in seconds, but his king is so exposed to the minor pieces that there is no hiding place. 1-0

In spite of their disappointment at not reaching the Final, Truro deserve the congratulations of all WECU supporters.


## NewsKnight

Readers with an interest in chess in Dorset may like to know of a new publication called Newsknight, the official magazine of the Boumemouth \& District Chess League. This is put together by a team comprising:

Editor:
Technical Advisor:
Production:
Proof Reader:

Alan Dommett.
Martin Simons Steve Crisp Richard Holmes

The September issue is already on the streets, and anyone interested in securing a copy should contact Martin Simons at:-

6a, Osborne Road,<br>Winton.

Bournemouth.
BH9 2JL
01202-513504
The current issue of four A4 sheets includes a well-analysed game from the final round of the Bournemouth Swiss between Simons and Gerald Bennett, full Bournemouth League results and an Opening Oddities feature which looks at an unusual line in the Pirc, the home-brewed "Pegg System".

Divisions I and II of the Bournemouth League finished thus:-

## Division I

| Team | p | $\mathbf{w}$ | d | l | pts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Southbourne B | 9 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| Southbourne A | 9 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 13 |
| Merck A | 9 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| Southbourne C | 9 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 9 |
| New Milton A | 9 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| Wimbome A | 9 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| Oakdale A | 9 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Oakdale B | 9 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| Parkstone A | 9 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Kinson A | 9 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 |

## Division II

| Team | p | w | d | $\mathbf{l}$ | pts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Lymington A | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| Bournemouth | 9 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 11 |
| Barclays | 9 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 11 |
| Highcliffe A | 9 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| Parkstone B | 9 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 9 |
| Southbourne D | 9 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| Wimborne B | 9 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| Merck B | 9 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Kinson B | 9 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Parkstone C | 9 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 |

## Frome Congress 1995

0ver 230 players entered this year with more wanting to play but unable to do so for lack of room. One development was the addition of a new section for those graded Under 90, the Contenders, and this proved a great success as it attracted many who had not taken part in a tournament before. Regular sponsor, Jean Mackereth of Selwood Frames, donated a new trophy for the new section.

Prizewinners were:-

## Open:

|  | Name | Club | Pts |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1st $=$ | D. Coleman | Powdermill | 4 |
|  | M. Franklin | Richmond | 4 |
|  | J. Rudd | Yeovil | 4 |
| U170 | P. Benson | Widnes |  |
|  | D. Grossett | Bristol \& Clifton |  |
|  | D. Tan | Richmond Juniors |  |

British Championship qualifiers:-
D. Coleman
J. Menadue (St. Austell)

Major (U170)

| 1st | D. Buckley | Keynsham | $41 / 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2nd= | K. Green | Aberdare | 4 |
|  | T. Matko | Cranfield | 4 |
| U140 | P.Butterfield | Grendell |  |

## Intermediate (U135)

| 1st | S. Chadaway | Handsworth Wood | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2nd $=$ | GBerryman | Frome | 4 |
|  | A. Jones | Newbury |  |
|  | I. McMillan | Sedgemoor |  |
|  | J.Newcombe | Grendel |  |
|  | ESandercock | Gerrards Cross |  |
| U115 | M. Farina | Keynsham |  |
| U/G | J. Reid | Bath |  |
|  | A. Rham | Bath |  |

## Minor (U115)

| 1st= | M. Brierly | Burnham | $41 / 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | M. Carver | Reading |  |
| 3rd= | P. Baker | Gloucester | 4 |
|  | S. Crockart | Hanham |  |
|  | R. Walker | Belper |  |
| U90 | B. Childs | Bodmin |  |
|  | A. Gladwell | Westinghouse |  |
|  | H. Harvey | Monmouth |  |
|  | J. Whitfield | Harpford |  |
| U/G | D. Barnstable | Street |  |
|  | S. Chant | Devizes |  |
|  | S. Reynolds | Supermarine |  |

## Contenders (U90)

| 1st | M. Jacobs | Bath | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2nd | D. Bale | Newport |  |
| 3rd= | R. Billows | Nailsea | 4 |
|  | D. Allberry | Trowbridge |  |
|  | R. Copeland | Trowbridge |  |
|  | R. Knight | Yeovil |  |
| U76 | D. Burke | Norton- <br> Radstock |  |
|  | T. Chapman | Yeovil |  |
| U/G | A York | Nailsea |  |

Somerset trophies were won by those named in bold type.
D. Buckley also won the Cuprinol Junior Trophy.

Nailsea won the team competition.

# Dr. Dave Regis Looks At "Attack with Mikhail Tal" 

(179pp + index) Cadogan Press: London<br>Mikhail Tal \& Iakov Damsky $£ 11.99$

Mikhail Tal needs no introduction: the wizard who emerged in the late 1950s as a force to complement the science of Botvinnik. This is Tal's last word to the chess world, some of which was transcribed from tapes made days before his death in 1994, and which he did not live to complete. Damsky tells us the planned title was Everything About Attack, and rues the omission of a planned chapter on the Queen's-side attack. The none chapters completed are listed below.

Each Chapter contains a number of examples, for instance, the chapter on the eight rank contains 16 examples from games - some rather familiar (like Levitsky - Marshall) - with four test positions and an example complete game with the same back rank theme. I have another book Test Your Chess IQ by Livshits - which contains 32 such examples. What extra do you get from the Tal/Damsky book?

Livshits' positions all have a concrete short-term solution (say, White to pay and win in 5 moves), whereas the examples in this book are nearly all of the sort that Spielmann calls 'real' sacrifices - being genuinely unclear, or at least requiring more judgement than calculation. The first example does resolve inside 7 moves, but the second only clears up after 17 moves. This sort of thing is real chess drama, and is great fun to watch in action. Tal and Damsky also give some chatty annotation which is mostly engaging and illuminating. Lastly, the themes are strategic more than tactical - the back rank chapter is the only one with an explicitly tactical theme. But all the common sacrificial motifs are present:

## Chapters:

1. The Main Indicator - King in the Centre
2. Breakthrough in the Centre
3. The Assault Ratio.
4. Invasion Trajectories- lines of approach.
5. Lines of communication (ie interference)
6. Outposts.
7. Eliminating Defenders.
8. At the Royal Court (7th \& 8th ranks)
9. Destroying the Fortress Walls.

Let me say immediately, the book is warm and witty, and the examples are hugely enjoyable. So, if the material and ideas listed above are unfamiliar to you, then I'd grab it. Criticisms? It's churlish to carp about such an enjoyable book, and since Tal's death, a moving one, but I'll mention one or two.

It was never going to be everything about attack. It is missing the concrete examples (for which see Livshits), and also the rather slow attacks with a closed centre that need not require a sacrificial outburst. It also lacks any reference to the various 'natural histories' of attack that have gone before (for example, the works of Vukovic), and so the examples may be hard to integrate in the mind. But alongside these works, AWMT has a place as a modem handbook of examples.

These are perhaps things the authors would freely concede, because they never claimed more for their book. But they did say their "aim ..... of suggesting: where and on which paths in the dense forest of variations are concealed those .... indicators ... that will allow you ... to switch ... to an attack". This is an enticing prospect: to accompany these master physicians in their diagnoses. How far is this aim realised? My view is that the book
fails here. I got an early impression that these indicators include, firstly, a lead in development, and secondly, open lines or the capacity to open them, but after this, it is all rather post hoc. We meet comments like:
"it turns out that now this is possible" (p.12)
"The correct evaluation of the position is only established by the typical attacking device carried out by the ex-world champion" (p.19)

So, although the examples are rich, the explanations are not very crisp. Tal says at one point (p.8) "we are talking here rather abstractly, rather in the spirit of Mikhail Moiseyevich" (Botvinnik), and while this book is full of Tal's charm, it lacks Botninnik's science.

Lastly, the test positions I found at once too hard and too easy. Let me give you an example:
Adjacent is the first test position from the first chapter. It may take you half a second to spot the only sacrificial idea, namely Nxf7, but it may take you forever to judge it properly. The game is actually from TalSimagen (given in full below) and clearly his Grandmaster opponent misjudged the sacrifice. I don't know if he missed Tal's 15th move, but the game was not decided until move 45 .

Almost all the examples are, like this one, successful ones - a sacrificial opportunity arises and is discovered to be sound. Only by comparing cases where sacrifices succeed and where they fail will we start to develop the judgement, and start to identify the indicators for ourselves.

The whole game shows at once the strength and weakness of the book: a wonderfully dramatic attack, but could the reader emulate it?

White: Tal
Black: Simagen 1956

1. e4 c6
2. d4 d6
3. Nc3 Nf6
4. f4 Qb6
5. Nf3 Bg4
6. Be2 Nbd7
7. e5 Nd5
8. 0-0 Nxc3
9. bxc3 e6
10. Ng5 Bxe2
11. Qxe2 h6

12. Nxf7 Kxf7
13. f5 dxe5
14. fxe6+ Kxe6
15. Rb1 This cheeky move regains some material. 15... Qxb1
16. Qc4+ Kd6
17. Ba3+ Kc7
18. Rxb1 Bxa3
19. Qb3 $\mathbf{B e} 7$
20. Qxb7+ Kd6
21. dxe5+ Nxe5
22. Rd1+ Ke6
23. Qb3+ Kf5
24. Rf1+ Ke4
25. Re1+ Kf5
26. g4+ Kf6
27. Rf1+


White's harrassment picks up a piece. Now Black must mobilise his rooks before White picks up all the pawns; sadly, he's too late. 27... Kg6
28. Qe6+ Kh7
29. Qxe5 Rhe8
30. Rf7 Bf8
31. Qf5 +Kg 8
32. Kf2 Bc5+
33. Kg3 Re3+
34. Kh4 Rae8
35. Rxg7+ Kxg7
36. Qxc5 R8e6
37. Qxa7+ Kg6
38. Qa8 Kf6
39. a4 Ke5
40. a5 Kd5
41. Qd8+ Ke4
42. 26 Kf 3
43. a7 Re2
44. Qd3+ R6e
45. Qxe3+

1-0


Final position. Superb! Tal kept the pot boiling throughout.

County Correspondence Chess
1994/95 Championships

## Final Tables

Division I (Ward Higgs)

| 1 | Sussex | 22 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $2=$ | Essex | $191 / 2$ |
| $2=$ | Kent | $191 / 2$ |
| 4 | Gloucestershire | 18 |
| $5=$ | Berkshire | $161 / 2$ |
| $5=$ | Nottinghamshire | $161 / 2$ |
| $5=$ | Warwickshire | $161 / 2$ |
| 8 | Suffolk | 16 |
| 9 | Leicestershire | 15 |
| 10 | Lancashire | $141 / 2$ |
| 11 | Devon | $131 / 2$ |
| $12=$ | Middlesex | $121 / 2$ |
| $12=$ | Worcestershire | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 14 | Surrey | 11 |
| $15=$ | Cheshire \& N.Wales | $81 / 2$ |
| $15=$ | Northamptonshire | $81 / 2$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Division II (Sinclair) |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | Essex II | 20 |
| 2 | Lincolnshire | $171 / 2$ |
| $3=$ | Kent II | 17 |
| $3=$ | Wiltshire | 17 |
| 5 | Greater Manchester | $16^{1 / 2}$ |
| $6=$ | Dorset | $151 / 2$ |
| $6=$ | Somerset | $151 / 2$ |
| 8 | Buckinghamshire | $141 / 2$ |
| $9=$ | Cornwall | 14 |
| $9=$ | Cumbria | 14 |
| $9=$ | Hampshire | 14 |
| 12 | Hertfordshire | $121 / 2$ |
| $13=$ | Merseyside | $111 / 2$ |
| $13=$ | Staffordshire | $111 / 2$ |
| 15 | Norfolk | 11 |
|  |  |  |

The Division III table can be found on another page.

Congratulations are due to Gloucestershire for being the top WECU county overall, and to Wiltshire who are promoted from Division II as both Essex II and Kent II are ineligible.

Finally a lively game from WECU Past President Ken Bloodworth that helped to keep Devon afloat in the Ward-Higgs.
K. J. Bloodworth (Devon) Bd. 4
S. Walker (Surrey)

Counties Correspondence Championship (Div I), 1995

| 1. e4 | e6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. d3 | c5 |
| 3. Nf 3 | Nc6 |
| 4. g3 | d5 |
| 5. Nbd 2 | Bd6 |
| 6. Bg2 | Nge7 |
| 7. $0-0$ | $0-0$ |
| 8. Re1 | Qc7 |



Prevents e5 but poses no threat.

| 9. Qe2 | f6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10. c3 | Bd7 |
| 11. b3 | Kh8 |
| 12. Bb2 | b5 |



Vigorous Q-side play by Black, hoping to prevent a K-side attack. My play aims to stabilise the Q-side.
13. a4 a6 c4
15. b4

Ng6
16. 35

Rae8
17. h 4 Qd8
18. h5 Nge7
19. Nh4 Nxd4


Black presses on with his Q-side diversion, but now he has to sacrifice.
20. cxd4
21. Ba3

Bxb4
22. Bxb4

Qxa5
Qxb4
23. Rxa6 c3
24. Ndf3 Rc8
25. exd5 Luring the Knight away, thus allowing the following double Knight sacrifice.
25 ........... Nxd5
26. Ng6+ hxg6
27. hxg6 Rfe8
28. Ng5 fxg5
29. Bxd5 Qxd4 [29...exd5 30.Qh5+ Kg8 31.Qh7+ Kf8 32.Qh8mate]
30. Qh5+ Kg8 Won on time, but White has a winning attack anyway. Some possibilities are:- If 31...Bxe6 [31...Kf8 32.Qh8+ Ke7 33.Bf7+ Kd8 34.Rxe8+ Kc7 35.Rxc8+ Bxc8 36.Ra8] 32.Raxe6 Rxe6 33.Rxe6 Qd1+ 34.Kh2 c2 35.Qh7+ Kf8 36.Qh8 mate.

## 11th March 1995

The crunch match in the county championship this year was the last round encounter between Devon and Hampshire, making a fitting climax to the competition as a whole. Although both were virtually assured of going on to the National Quarter-Final stages, there were two pieces of hardware at stake, and either team could win either trophy. Atthough Deivon managed to outgrade Hampshire on the top three boards, the advantage ended there, and the Hampshire strength-in-depth, with half the team 190 or above, helped them shade the first team match.

The difference in strength continued down into the second team, where most Devon players were outgraded by approximately 20 points, and Hampshire rather romped home, thus retaining both the Harold Meek and Wayling Cups.

| 1 Lane G W | 231 | $1-0$ | Corkett A | 209 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Karlsson A S | 214 | $1-0$ | Webb R M | 210 |
| 3 Chaski | 210 | $0-1$ | Thompson I D | 202 |
| 4 Beake B | 198 | $0-1$ | Morris C F | 201 |
| 5 Hills K | 185 | $0-1$ | Neil D R | 199 |
| 6 Heath C | 182 | $0-1$ | Noyce R C | 195 |
| 7 Wheeler J F | 177 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Brameld A F | 191 |
| 8 Hewson B W | 174 | $1-0$ | Yeo M J | 190 |
| 9 Brusey A W | 165 | $0-1$ | Tunks D | 189 |
| 10 Bellers C | 171 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Newbury M L | 183 |
| 11 Morgan P | 162 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Blake C A | 172 |
| 12 Lane P | 161 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Jones J H | 177 |
| 13 Wheeler G W160 | $1-0$ | Thompson P I | 189 |  |
| 14 Harris P | 170 | $0-1$ | Purkiss W | 174 |
| 15 Regis D | 157 | $0-1$ | Bell D W | 174 |
| 16 Ridolfo J | 149 | $1-0$ | Smallbone K | 160 |


| 17 | Kinder A | 154 | 0-1 | Knox S W | 171 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | Leigh M | 149 | 1-0 | Miller P D | 172 |
| 19 | Hamon M D | 151 | 0-1 | Marsh R D W | 168 |
| 20 | Jones R H | 150 | 0-1 | Buckley M G | 166 |
| 21 | Towers R C | 156 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Wilkinson J | 167 |
| 22 | Halmkin P E | 151 | 0-1 | Mc Leod F N | 162 |
| 23 | Annetts I S | 146 | 0-1 | Ramsdale J | 154 |
| 24 | Taggart I | 148 | 1/2-1/2 | Body M | 156 |
| 25 | Carpenter R | 145 | 1-0 | Herion M B | 146 |
| 26 | Carpenter $P$ | 145 | 0-1 | Thompson D F | 154 |
| 27 | Brookwell C | 143 | 0-1 | Smith A D | 153 |
| 28 | Walton E | 143 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Hagan M C | 152 |
| 29 | Bloodworth | 137 | 1/2-1/2 | Stenhouse I | 152 |
| 30 | Jolly M | 129 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Dean S | 143 |
| 31 | Rudall D | 128 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Clarke M C | 149 |
| 32 | Frost N | 116 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | Barber P | 148 |

## W.E.C.U. SENIOR JAMBOREE 1994

| A1 | Poulton J R | 222 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | E1 | Cole R B | 201 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B1 | Rudd J | 192 | $0-1$ | D1 | Cobb J E | 207 |
| F1 | Karlsson A S | 214 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | C1 | Phillips R | 199 |
| C2 | Rendell R W | 171 | $0-1$ | B2 | Whitcutt J | 182 |
| D2 | Cobb C A | 199 | $0-1$ | A2 | Webb R M | 210 |
| E2 | Menadue J F | 185 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | F2 | Beake B | 198 |
| A3 | Thompson I D | 202 | $1-0$ | C3 | Denison P | 164 |
| E3 | Greet A N | 183 | $1-0$ | D3 | Haydon R | 199 |
| F3 | Hills K | 185 | $1-0$ | B3 | Fawcett J K | 164 |
| B4 | Chapman P M | 161 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | A4 | Noyce R C | 195 |
| C4 | Boyce J R | 163 | $1-0$ | E4 | George I.M. | 175 |
| D4 | Cooper A C | 192 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | F4 | Lingham R | 179 |
| A5 | Coates K G | 193 | $1-0$ | F5 | Wheeler J F | 177 |
| B5 | Littlejohns | 161 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | E5 | Grime R.J. | 156 |
| C5 | Gingell M | 159 | $1-0$ | D5 | Lea E G | 180 |
| D6 | Harwood D | 165 | $1-0$ | C6 | Jordan D | 150 |
| E6 | Schneider C | 144 | $1-0$ | B6 | Jepps G N | 158 |
| F6 | Brusey A W | 165 | $0-1$ | A6 | Brameld A F | 191 |
| C7 | Carr J | 148 | $1-0$ | F7 | Wheeler G W | 160 |
| D7 | Windebank G | 165 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | B7 | Chaplin P | 153 |
| E7 | Bartlett S | 143 | $0-1$ | A7 | Yeo M J | 190 |
| A8 | Tunks D | 189 | $0-1$ | D8 | Johnson B R | 162 |
| B8 | Gosling B G | 154 | $1-0$ | C8 | Breeze G | 139 |
| F8 | Lane P | 161 | $0-1$ | E8 | Onley D | 139 |
| B9 | Dobber P | 146 | $0-1$ | F9 | Morgan P | 162 |
| C9 | Roberts Shan | 132 | $0-1$ | A9 | Bennett G H | 188 |
| D9 | Churm R | 156 | $0-1$ | E9 | Seale J | 134 |
| A10 | Newbury M L | 183 | $1-0$ | B10 | Woodruff D G | 141 |
| E10 | Hayes P | 130 | $0-1$ | C10 | Marke T M | 131 |
| F10 | Regis D | 157 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | D10 | Debbage I | 148 |
| A11 | Knox S W | 171 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | E11 | Sellwood C | 127 |
| B11 | Wood D C | 138 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | D11 | Ward D E | 148 |
| F11 | Leigh M | 149 | $1-0$ | C11 | Smith A V | 123 |
| C12 | Roberts S C | 124 | $1-0$ | B12 | Mc Kinley C | 138 |
| D12 | O'Byrne D L | 143 | $0-1$ | A12 | Buckley M G | 166 |
| E12 | Barkhysen A | 120 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | F12 | Jones R H | 150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |


| 1. | HAMPSHIRE | (A) | $91 / 2 \mathrm{pts}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | CORNWALL | (E) | $61 / 2 \mathrm{pts}$ |
| $3=$ | DEVON | (F) | $51 / 2 \mathrm{pts}$ |
|  | GLOS. | (C) $51 / 2 \mathrm{pts}$ |  |
| 5. | WILTSHIRE | (D) 5 pts |  |
| 6. | SOMERSET | (B) 4 | pts |

## W. E. C. U. GRADED JAMBOREE 1994

| Q1 | Chapman G | 140 | $1-0$ | V1 | Bull J J | 138 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T1 | Pope S | 133 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | R1 | Balfour D A | 140 |
| V2 | Maishman R M | 134 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | P1 | Hodge D J | 139 |
| P2 | Bloodworth K | 137 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | T2 | Rogers P M | 126 |
| R2 | Rapkins D G | 140 | $0-1$ | Q2 | ToIS | 136 |


| P3 | Gorodi J | 137 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | R3 | Primmett A D | 138 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| V3 | Wallis T A | 133 | $1-0$ | T3 | Sparke E G | 129 |
| T4 | Snell G | 126 | $0-1$ | Q3 | Debbage L | 135 |
| Q4 | Woodward T | 129 | $1-0$ | P4 | Deakin C | 132 |
| R4 | Mann C P B | 138 | $0-1$ | V4 | McMillan I | 132 |
| Q5 | Hewitt A | 123 | $1-0$ | R5 | Hunwicks G A | 132 |
| T5 | Palmer A | 116 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | P5 | Bruce Mrs R | 123 |
| P6 | Ayress D | 114 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | V5 | Barratt C F | 127 |
| R6 | Holliday T | 128 | $0-1$ | T6 | Jenkins G J | 126 |
| V6 | Manning P | 121 | $1-0$ | Q6 | Carver R | 121 |
| P7 | Horseman D | 112 | $1-0$ | Q7 | Wardell R F | 119 |
| V7 | Senior N N | 124 | $1-0$ | R7 | Hamilton Tay | 128 |
| Q8 | Phoutsady C | 118 | $0-1$ | T7 | Edwards D | 123 |
| R8 | Sheehan E A | 116 | $1-0$ | P8 | Willis R | 111 |
| T8 | Webb S | 123 | $1-0$ | V8 | Cole E W | 123 |
| V9 | Wood S | 97 | $1-0$ | Q9 | Bridge D | 114 |
| R9 | Rookes AD | 109 | $0-1$ | T9 | Blackmore M | 120 |
| P9 | Hay T J | 111 | $0-1$ | V10 | Buckley D E | 107 |
| T10 | Le Gallez Pa | 118 | $1-0$ | P10 | Short P S | 104 |
| Q10 | Pott D H | 114 | $1-0$ | R10 | Curtis M | 80 |
| R11 | Mann Mrs B G | 94 | $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | P11 | Wilkinson B | 103 |
| T11 | Rosseinsky J | 118 | $0-1$ | V11 | Buckley Simo | 106 |
| Q11 | Walters M | 114 | $0-1$ | T12 | Roberts P J | 114 |
| P12 | Smith F W | 85 | $1-0$ | Q12 | Debbage P | 85 |
| V12 | Buckley Mela | 98 | $1-0$ | R12 | Marples R A | 64 |


| 1. SOMERSET | (V) | pts |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2. EXETER | (T) | $71 / 2 \mathrm{pts}$ |  |
| 3. WILTSHIRE | (Q) | 6 |  |
| pts |  |  |  |
| 4. TORBAY | (P) | 5 pts |  |
| 5. DORSET | (R) | $21 / 2 \mathrm{pts}$ |  |



## County Match Dates :: 1995/96

| Date |  |  | bye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 7th | Devon v Cornwall | Dorset v Glos | Somerset v Wilts | Hants |
| October 28th | Cornwall v Somerset | Glos v Hants | Dorset v Wilts | Devon |
| Nov 11th | Cornwall v Dorset | Somerset v Hants | Glos v Devon | Wilts |
| December 2nd | Hants v Cornwall | Wilts v Devon | Somerset v Glos | Dorset |
| January 6th | Wilts v Glos | Devon v Somerset | Hants v Dorset | Cornwall |
| January 27th | Glos v Cornwall | Devon v Dorset | Wilts v Hants | Somerset |
| March 9th | Hants v Devon | Dorset v Somerset | Cornwall v Wilts | Glos |

# How Final Is Death? 

## by Steve Boniface

Steve is a well-known administrator on the West Country scene, having controlled most congresses between Bristol and Plymouth at one time or another. He is also a regular contributor to many chess magazines, and to mark the 20th Anniversary of his first attempt at controlling - the first Exmouth \& District Primary Schools Chess Congress in 1975 (well, we all have to start somewhere!) Steve has put pen to paper yet again

Don't worry, this is not a metaphysical treatise, nor a plea for enforced euthanasia for opponents who simply won't resign, but a comment on the misuse of the word 'dead' in a chess context.

At a recent congress one particular player was bemoaning his luck in his first round game.

After an hour," he said, "I had a dead won game, but then I got into time trouble. After the time control the game was dead drawn, but then I ran short of time again". The final part of his tale recounted the tragedy whereby if only he'd had a few more minutes ... he wouldn't have lost.

This does rather imply that his opponent must have been Lazarus, or that he himself had a kamikaze tendency. In the same event another player claimed a half point, while a pawn down, in a position which he claimed was a theoretical draw. He seemed most upset when asked by the controller to play on in order to establish that he could, in fact, hold the game. Ultimately, his flag fell in a position where he had proved nothing, and his opponent's situation looked, if anything, much improved. The amusing postscript occurred the next morning when, still upset, he
insisted on playing out the ending from the original position against one of the congress committee. Six moves later he was mated, and was never heard of again!

It seems that unlike a squashed hedgehog, a flattened game gets a remarkable tendency to re-acquire life immediately before the time control. Gerald Abrahams once wrote about a concept called "The Margin of Draw" in which he explained that a person could play better moves than his opponent, but still might not be able to win because of the resources of the chessboard. For example, a bishop ahead normally wins, but not in King versus King with rook's pawn and bishop of the wrong colour.

There are other marginal positions where a game is almost won, but not quite, or conversely, almost lost, but with a resource which may or may not have been seen at the time the ending was reached. But because there might be a resource several moves down the analysis that might save a lost position, that is not reason for the evidence of the clock to be ignored. After all, players start with the same time, and a poor temporal investment at the beginning is a risky venture.

Meanwhile, to describe any position, other than something like King v . King \& Bishop as "dead", is to misdiagnose the condition of John Cleese's parrot: for the time being, it is merely resting!


# Devon Junior Chess News 

Please send me copies of Devon Junior Chess News, as indicated. I enclose cheque/Postal Order for the appropriate amount, made payable to "The Keverel Group".

| Next issue | $£ 1.99$ | Next 2 issues | $£ 3.90$ | Next 3 issues | $£ 5.75$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Name: (please print) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Post Code: |  |

Signed:
Date:


## Westward Ho!

Please send me copies of Westward Ho! as indicated. I enclose cheque/Postal Order for the appropriate amount payable to "The Keverel Group".

| Next issue | $£ 1.99$ | Next 2 issues | $£ 3.90$ | Next 3 issues | $£ 5.75$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Name: (please print) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Post Code: |  |

## Westward Ho:



Tel \& Fax: 01395-223340

Also available:

Devon Junior Chess News £1.99
Exmouth Chess Club Yearbook 1995/96 £1.99
Coming Shortly
A new Devon Chess Newsletter


